

# CRYPTOSMART CARD 5.0 SECURITY TARGET

Document revision: 33

Author	Validation	Approval
Julien Kowalski	Eric Laubacher	

			<b>Engineering. Réseaux. Communications.</b> 6, rue Dewoitine - 78140 VELIZY - FRANCE Phone: 01 39 46 50 50      Fax : 01 39 46 25 25 International phone: +33 1 39 46 50 50      International fax: +33 1 39 46 25 25 Web : www.ercom.fr      email : info@ercom.fr
Ed.	Date	Author	Designation / Modification
5.0-draft	2010-05-17	JKI	SPD; security objectives and SPD-SO rationale
5.0.1-draft	2010-05-17	JKI	Modification after RL and EL remarks
5.0.2	2010-10-13	JKI	SFR/SAR definition; TSF definition; TOE description
5.0.3	2010-10-18	JKI	ST is now composite with Smart Café Java Card ST. Impacted description; and some objective previously from environment are included as objectives for the TOE.
5.0.4	2010-11-17	JKI	Platform change; Some SFR rewriting
5.0.5	2011-01-31	JKI	Modification after ANSSI remarks
5.0.6	2011-03-24	JKI	Release transmitted to CESTI
5.0.7	2011-05-19	JKI	Correction after CESTI remarks
5.0.8	2011-06-24	JKI	Correction of issues underlined by CESTI and about key management.

Ed : 2010B/346	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012
Ver. : 5.0.8		Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-
	Security Target	1/82

## TABLE OF CONTENT

<b>1. SECURITY TARGET INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>5</b>
1.1 SECURITY TARGET AND TOE IDENTIFICATION .....	5
1.2 CONFORMANCE CLAIMS .....	5
1.3 CONVENTIONS.....	6
1.4 TERMINOLOGY .....	7
1.5 REFERENCES .....	8
<b>2. TOE DESCRIPTION .....</b>	<b>9</b>
2.1 TOE OVERVIEW.....	9
2.2 TOE SAMPLE USAGE: THE CRYPTOSMART SYSTEM .....	9
2.3 TOE DESCRIPTION.....	12
2.4 COMPOSITE TOE SCOPE.....	21
<b>3. SECURITY PROBLEM DEFINITION.....</b>	<b>23</b>
3.1 ASSETS TO PROTECT.....	23
3.2 ASSUMPTIONS.....	25
3.3 THREATS .....	26
3.4 OSP.....	28
<b>4. SECURITY OBJECTIVES .....</b>	<b>30</b>
4.1 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE TOE.....	30
4.2 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE ENVIRONMENT .....	32
<b>5. SECURITY REQUIREMENTS .....</b>	<b>34</b>
5.1 SECURITY FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS.....	35
5.2 TOE SECURITY ASSURANCE REQUIREMENTS.....	53
<b>6. GLOBAL TOE SPECIFICATION .....</b>	<b>54</b>
6.1 TOE SECURITY FUNCTIONS.....	54
6.2 TOE SECURITY ASSURANCE MEASURES .....	59
<b>7. RATIONALES.....</b>	<b>64</b>
7.1 SECURITY OBJECTIVES RATIONALE .....	64
7.2 SECURITY REQUIREMENTS RATIONALE .....	69
7.3 GLOBAL TOE SPECIFICATION RATIONALE.....	75
<b>8. CONSISTENCY OF COMPOSITE PRODUCT SECURITY TARGET .....</b>	<b>78</b>
8.1 SEPARATION OF TSF.....	78
8.2 COMPATIBILITY OF SAR.....	78
8.3 COMPATIBILITY OF SFR.....	79
8.4 COMPATIBILITY OF SECURITY OBJECTIVES .....	80
8.5 COMPATIBILITY OF SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE ENVIRONMENT .....	81

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-	
	Security Target		2/82

8.6 COMPATIBILITY OF THREATS ..... 81

8.7 COMPATIBILITY OF OSP ..... 82

8.8 COMPATIBILITY OF ASSUMPTIONS..... 82

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-
	Security Target	3/82

## TABLE OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Cryptosmart sample architecture .....	11
Figure 2: Cryptosmart card life cycle .....	17
Figure 3: TOE scope.....	22

## TABLES

Table 1 : Cryptosmart card life states.....	18
Table 2: Assets security needs.....	25
Table 3: Function access rights .....	41
Table 4: Cryptosmart card aimed assurance levels .....	53
Table 5: Tracing between security objectives and security problem definition .....	64

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-
	Security Target	4/82

# 1. SECURITY TARGET INTRODUCTION

## 1.1 SECURITY TARGET AND TOE IDENTIFICATION

This document constitutes the Security Target (ST) of Cryptosmart card, version 5.0 developed by Ercom.

- **ST name:** Cryptosmart card 5.0 – Security target
- **ST version:** 5.0.8
- **ST Date :** July 10, 2012
- **TOE identifier:** Cryptosmart applet on Oberthur ID-ONE COSMO V7.0.1
- **TOE version:** 5.0
- **TOE Developer :** ERCOM

This security target addresses a composite TOE evaluation in the sense of [CPESC] where:

- The certified platform is the ID-ONE COSMO V7.0.1 OS Java Card (with optional code identified as 077121);
- The application is the cryptosmart applet V5.0.

The platform is identified as follows:

Platform name	ID-One Cosmo V7.0.1-n Standard Dual	ID-One Cosmo V7.0.1-n Standard	ID-One Cosmo V7.0.1-n Basic Dual
Platform hardware identification	18 01 1F	18 01 1A	18 01 1B
Optional code	077121	077121	077121
Platform IC reference version	P5CD081 V1A	P5CC081 V1A	P5CD041 V1A

## 1.2 CONFORMANCE CLAIMS

This Security Target claims conformance to **CC version 3.1** with the following documents:

- "Common Criteria for information Technology Security Evaluation, Part 1: Introduction and general model", July 2009, Version 3.1 Revision 3 (CCMB-2009-07-001)
- "Common Criteria for information Technology Security Evaluation, Part 2: Security Functional requirements", July 2009, Version 3.1 Revision 3 (CCMB-2009-07-002)
- "Common Criteria for information Technology Security Evaluation, Part 3: Security Assurance requirements", July 2009, Version 3.1 Revision 3 (CCMB-2009-07-003)

Conformance is claimed as follows:

- Part 1: conformant
- Part 2: conformant
- Part 3: conformant to assurance level EAL4 augmented with ALC\_DVS.2 and AVA\_VAN.5

**Conformity to a protection profile:** This Security Target does not claim conformance with any Protection Profile.

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-
	Security Target	5/82

### 1.3 CONVENTIONS

APDU	Application Protocol Data Unit
CA	Certificate Authority
DH	Diffie-Hellman
ERCOM	ERCOM S.A.
IA	Identification and Authentication
PP	Protection Profile
SFP	Security Function Policy
ST	Security Target
TOE	Target of Evaluation – called CC for system being evaluated
TSC	TSF Scope of Control
TSF	TOE Security Functions
TSP	TOE Security Policy

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-
	Security Target	6/82

## 1.4 TERMINOLOGY

- Card admin station** Device for managing a fleet of Cryptosmart cards.
- Administrator** Person authorized to access the administration station and manage the fleet of Cryptosmart cards.  
The administrator is the user of the applet before it is delivered to the final user.
- Authentication** Service ensuring the identity of a card.
- Certificate** Identity data and public key of a user signed by the private key of the certification authority.
- Card personalization** This is the process where an administrator injects or generates the cryptographic keys inside the Cryptosmart card. The name certification comes from the fact a user certificate shall be imported inside the smartcard during this process.
- Clone card** Device having the capacity to substitute for a legitimate card, in particular to authenticate itself and generate a valid session key.
- CRL** Certificate Revocation List. List of certificates that are no longer authorized.
- Cryptosmart card** Smartcard incorporating the Cryptosmart applet
- Host** Represents the module managing communications with the smartcard. By extension it may be viewed as the device embedding the Cryptosmart card.
- Local attacker** Third party person trying to corrupt or recover sensitive data by accessing a Cryptosmart card directly without knowing the security code. This may be a legitimate user of a card of the same family as the TOE. The legitimate user of the TOE and the administrator are excluded from this definition.  
Some data shall not be modified or recovered even knowing the TOE security code. In this case even the legitimate user may be considered as an attacker against these data.
- MAC** Message Authentication Code, a message sealing and integrity verification mechanism with secret key.
- Masquerade** Action aimed at deceiving a correspondent about his real identity.
- Online attacker** Third party person trying to corrupt or recover sensitive data by intercepting and/or modifying the flows between equipment using Cryptosmart cards. The online attacker may possess lost or stolen cards of the same family as the TOE and knowing their Security Code. It may also be a legitimate user of another card of the same family as the TOE. The legitimate TOE user, the legitimate user of the card with which the TOE has to establish a session and the PKI administrator<sup>1</sup> are excluded from this definition.
- Reset** Re-initialization of the smartcard volatile memory.
- Session key** 256-bit key generated by the Cryptosmart card at each cryptosmart authentication protocol run. This key is provided to the host under a wrapped form. It is derived by the cryptosmart card into keys transmitted to the host. These keys protect the flows exchanged between hosts.
- User** Person carrying a user type Cryptosmart card and knowing his security code

<sup>1</sup> As a dishonest PKI administrator may issue any certificates he may impersonate any other user.

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-
	Security Target	7/82

## 1.5 REFERENCES

- [CC3-p1] Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation part 1, version 3.1 Revision 3
- [CC3-p2] Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation part 2, version 3.1 Revision 3
- [CC3-p3] Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation part 3, version 3.1 Revision 3
- [CPESC] Composite product evaluation for Smart Card and similar devices version 1.1 revision 1
- [JCAPI] "Java Card 2.2.2 - API" Application Programming Interfaces Version 2.2.2 March, 2006, Sun Microsystems
- [JCS-PP] Java Card™ System Protection Profile Collection *Version 1.0b*, SUN microsystem
- [IOC7 - ST] ID-ONE COSMO V7.0.1 TERPSICHORE Security Target FQR 110 5145 Issue 4
- [ANSSI-CC-2012/30] Rapport de certification ANSSI-CC-2012/30 Carte à puce ID-ONE Cosmo V7.0.1-n, avec correctif 077121, masque sur composants NXP P5CD081 V1A (Standard Dual), P5CC081 V1A (Standard) et P5CD041 V1A (Basic Dual)
- [PP CM] Cryptographic modules, security level "enhanced". BSI-CC-PP-0045
- [RGS\_ANSSI] **Référentiel Général de Sécurité** Version 1.0 – ANSSI ([http://www.ssi.gouv.fr/site\\_article38.html](http://www.ssi.gouv.fr/site_article38.html))
- [CRYPTO\_ANSSI] RGS – Annex B1. Mécanismes cryptographiques : Règles et recommandations concernant le choix et le dimensionnement des mécanismes cryptographiques
- [KEY\_ANSSI] RGS – Annex B2. Gestion des clés cryptographiques : Règles et recommandations concernant la gestion des clés utilisées dans des mécanismes cryptographiques

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-
	Security Target	8/82

## 2. TOE DESCRIPTION

### 2.1 TOE OVERVIEW

The TOE consists of a JavaCard applet developed by Ercom running on a smartcard running the Oberthur **ID-ONE COSMO V7.0.1** JavaCard OS on NXP chip.

The TOE has three main usages:

- Provide the ability to authenticate a distant Cryptosmart card and negotiate a shared key with it;
- Act as a cryptographic processor, by providing cryptographic function which are:
  - User authentication by using a security code
  - Secure key storage, either for 256 bits symmetric keys or 2048 bits RSA keys
  - Key export to host interface, either for 256 bits symmetric keys or 2048 bits RSA keys
  - A subset of PKCS#11 functionalities. The smartcard offers in device cryptography. This allows performing cryptographic computation without exposing the secret key. Operations supported by the TOE are:
    - Symmetric encryption and decryption using AES-256 .
    - Symmetric MAC generation and verification using HMAC-SHA256
    - RSA-2048 decryption using PKCS#1.5 and PKCS#1.5-OAEP padding schemes
    - RSA-2048 signature using PKCS#1.5 padding scheme with no hash function (which allows the usage of any hash function: digest shall be performed by the host)
  - 2048 bits RSA key generation
  - 256 bits symmetric key generation
  - Management of the extractable property for RSA and symmetric keys
  - Management of the key usage property for symmetric keys
  - “Local encryption key” obtention by deriving internal keys
  - Random Number generation
- Provide a secure storage area.

The PKCS#11 C interfaces to smartcard functionalities is provided by the card driver which translates PKCS#11 C functions calls into smartcard commands. This driver is out of the scope of the evaluation.

The smartcard PKCS#11 functionalities are called by extension PKCS#11 functions in this document.

### 2.2 TOE SAMPLE USAGE: THE CRYPTOSMART SYSTEM

This section presents a cryptosmart card usage example.

Ercom has developed a product family called Cryptosmart for mobile and nomadism security. It protects any kind of devices (mobile, laptops, fixed phones, vehicles) on any kind of networks (mobile, wireless, wireline, satellite) for any kind of applications (mail, voice, SMS, video, business applications). In all cases, it always uses a Cryptosmart card.

The Cryptosmart system consists in:

- A Cryptosmart Gateway which interconnects external devices with the enterprise/customer IT center for data communications via a VPN and for encrypted-clear calls ; it also provides routing and SIP functionalities for secure end-to-end VOIP calls between two devices.
- Cryptosmart PC Suite and Cryptosmart Mobile Suite : it provides protection of the devices, protection of the data flows, and protection of voice calls

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-
	Security Target	9/82

- Cryptosmart Card (the TOE) providing strong authentication and key management functions for both the Cryptosmart Gateway and the devices ;
- Cryptosmart CardAdminStation for administration and management of Cryptosmart Cards.

The Cryptosmart Card acts as a root of trust for the Cryptosmart system by

- protecting essential secrets which allow user authentication,
- managing cryptographic keys which allow the protection of user's sensitive data and communications.

For example, thanks to the use of the Cryptosmart Card (TOE), Cryptosmart Mobile Suite provides the following security features for PDA/Smart phones:


- Strong authentication
- Voice encryption
- SMS protection
- VPN
- Local device encryption
- Network firewall

The secure communications provided by the Cryptosmart solution protect the user's sensitive data in confidentiality, integrity and authenticity.

The following diagram presents an example of architecture with Cryptosmart Mobile Suite, Cryptosmart PC Suite, Cryptosmart Gateway, and Cryptosmart CardAdminStation. Thus, users can:

- Get access to their professional mail securely
- Get access to their intranet securely
- Get access to the internet via a proxy and thus benefit from the already established policy of their organization in terms of internet access
- Make secure end-to-end calls
- Call any anyone in their organization while securing the outside path of the communication thanks to the PBX interconnection
- Send secure SMS

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-	
	Security Target		10/82



**Figure 1: Cryptosmart sample architecture**

Mobile devices are by definition easily lost or theft. Usage of the TOE in this system allows a secure storage of user secrets which are never directly stored on the mobile device.

- User data remain protected in case of theft by an attacker as protection keys are stored by the smartcard and using the cryptosmart card requires a security code;
- The most sensitive cryptographic keys never appear on the host device: cryptographic operation involving them are performed in card;
- The device does not become a threat for the customer system as data required for authentication remain in the smartcard, not accessible to the attacker. The attacker may not enter the customer system by authenticating as the user he compromised.

Usage of the TOE in the Gateway for authentication purposes provides the following advantages in case of Gateway compromise:

- The attacker may not authenticate as a valid Gateway as authentication private keys are stored by the card and are not extractable;
- The cryptosmart authentication protocol involves symmetric secrets as a second security layer over the asymmetric one. Smartcard usage allows protecting these secrets. Otherwise they would have been stored readable on the Gateway.

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-	
	Security Target		11/82

## 2.3 TOE DESCRIPTION

### 2.3.1 USAGE OF THE TOE

The Cryptosmart card is delivered to customers in a state in which no key is installed. An administrator is in charge of personalizing it for each user. Card personalization is done by using a software tool called card admin station provided by Ercom which exists in two versions:

- A command line version allowing automation of the personalization process;
- A graphical version allowing card by card personalization.

The personalization process consists in providing to the smartcard its cryptographic keys and certificates. Cryptographic keys may either be

- Generated inside the smartcard allowing an extremely secure configuration as these keys may never be extracted outside the smartcard;
- Imported inside the smartcard.

This latter case reaches the same security as the first if the customer's information system stores imported keys in a secure way. It allows a more flexible management of the cards: a card containing the same keys can be generated. It allows card replacement without interrupting the services offered by the smartcard.

Card personalization requires usage of an external PKI to generate the user certificate on which distant user authentication relies. As other keys, the corresponding private key may be either generated internally to the smartcard or externally by customer's PKI.

During card personalization, the administrator also:

- Sets a minimal security code length and a default code. The TOE user can change this code. This minimal security length for security codes must be comprised between 4 and 8, or be 0 to avoid usage of a user security code. This must be set at card creation, and a user won't be able to reduce the initial code length.
- Asks for PUK code creation: the TOE generates 15 PUK codes and exports them. PUK codes may never be exported afterward. PUK codes have a length of 8 digits.
- Generates and injects a recycle code.
- Inject cryptographic keys inside the smartcard which are:
  - The family key which is a key shared by every card of a family. (note: an administrator may administrate several families);
  - The wrapping key. The wrapping key may also be generated internally to the smartcard.
  - The local encryption master keys.
  - The APDU encryption initialization key
  - The user authentication key and corresponding certificate (used in cryptosmart authentication protocol)
- Sets the card type (user card or gateway card)

PUK codes are used for unlocking the smartcard in case the user blocks his card by entering wrong security codes.

The family key is derived into an identity protection key used during cryptosmart authentication protocol run.

The wrapping key is a key divided into an encryption key and an integrity key. These key are used to export keys negotiated by the cryptosmart authentication protocol to the host while protected from disclosure and modification.

The local encryption key is a key which may never be extracted from the TOE but may be derived into several keys. Those derived keys are exported to the host.

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-	
	Security Target		12/82

The card type defines user cards and gateway card. Gateway cards have the same functionalities as user cards but are optimized to be used on a server. This is useful in the case if the TOE is used in standalone appliances where cryptographic operation shall be performed without user interaction.

A specific command ends the personalization process: from now the card only allows key generation or import for PKCS#11 functions. The keys injected by the administrator (APDU encryption initialization key and user authentication key) can neither be changed by the user nor be reached directly by the user (he may only use them). The card is in a state where it can be delivered to the user.

When a user receives its smartcard he should change the default security code set by the administrator. He may use any functionality of the smartcard described hereafter.

The user may block his smartcard by entering a wrong security code several times (only 2 successive failures are authorized. The third failure blocks the card).

The card can be unblocked by entering a PUK code (which has been generated during the personalization process). The card status contains the current PUK code number to use. To unblock the card the user shall enter this precise PUK code (entering another PUK code even generated by the TOE during personalization will not unblock the card and count as failure). After 10 unsuccessful PUK code entries the whole content of the card but the recycle code is wiped. The card may only be recycled. Once entered successfully a PUK code unblock the card and cannot be used again (the current PUK code number is increased).

If the user blocks the card and no PUK code are available the card content is wiped.

The user unlocks the card by entering his security code. He may explicitly lock the smartcard by logging off.

Once the smartcard unlocked the user may use any functionality described § 2.1.

As the user certificate has a limited validity date, the smartcard has the same validity date. At this date the user shall receive a new smartcard. Continuity of cryptographic services which do not involve this certificate is ensured by personalizing both cards with the same keyset. The old card shall then be recycled by using the recycle code. Card recycling erases any data contained in the card and returns it in the same state as it was at the time of delivery to customer. This card may be re- personalized for another user.

### 2.3.2 TOE FUNCTIONALITIES

This part describes the services that the TOE offers to its users. These services are:

- User authentication;
- Cryptosmart authentication protocol run;
- Signature generation (both symmetric and asymmetric);
- Encryption (AES) and decryption (AES and RSA);
- External key storage;
- Key generation;
- Local protection key obtention
- User data storage
- Key or user data export.

The TOE offers also an interface for the host to get TOE status which contains the current card state, the serial number, current security code entry failures and other information about the TOE.

**Note:** the symmetric signature generation and local protection key obtention services are not included in the TOE.

#### USER AUTHENTICATION

Each TOE user possesses a 4 to 8 digits security code. The TOE authenticates its user by confronting the security code given by the user to the value it stores. A successful authentication unlocks the card functionalities and allows the user to perform cryptographic operations.

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-
	Security Target	13/82

After 3 security code entry failures the TOE is locked in a “BLOCKED” state and may only be unblocked by a correct PUK code entry or erased and reset by a correct recycle code entry.

PUK codes also allow authenticating the user. Anyway PUK code entry is forbidden in any state other than BLOCKED.

#### SECURITY CODE CHANGE

The TOE allows the user to change its security code. This can only be done if the user has previously authenticated himself to the TOE.

Security code choice is free to the user with the only limitation that its length shall be comprised between the lower bound set by the administrator and 8.

#### KEY NEGOTIATION (CRYPTOSMART AUTHENTICATION)

To establish a secure channel between two devices hosting a Cryptosmart card, the smartcard performs:

- The mutual authentication of both components.
- The negotiation of a session key which will be derived into cryptographic parameters and keys.

The authentication of the distant user is based on standard X.509 certificates.

This key negotiation is based on a DH based security proven authentication protocol allowing identity protection.

Keys negotiated during the authentication protocol are exported under a wrapped form: protected both in confidentiality and integrity. They must be derived to get keys shared between both devices.

#### KEY PROTECTION

The TOE offers keys storage capabilities for external application (Cryptosmart suite, S/MIME application, third party local encryption software, etc.) and for PKCS#11 purposes. A user may generate cryptographic key through the cryptosmart card. He can store cryptographic keys inside the smartcard. The TOE protects these keys by conditioning their access to the user authentication.

Keys stored may be either RSA keys with a 2048 bits length or 256 bits symmetric keys. Both types of keys may be extractable or not. A user can never have access to the value of non extractable keys. He can only use them to perform cryptographic operation.

Symmetric keys have a key usage attribute which defines if the key may be used for encryption, signature or both usages.

#### KEY DERIVATION

Key derivation is performed inside the smartcard. This allows the master session key (negotiated during the authentication protocol) to remain unexposed even to the legitimate user. The derivation process also derives a fresh key from the input, returned under a wrapped form.

#### RSA SIGNATURE

The TOE allows the user to sign buffers using RSA with a PKCS#1.5 padding scheme. The signature private key is one of the RSA keys stored internally.

**Note:** the TOE only performs operation with private key. Public key operation (RSA signature verification) is performed by the host.

#### RSA DECRYPTION

The TOE allows the user to decrypt buffers using RSA with a PKCS#1.5 or OAEP padding scheme. The signature private key is one of the RSA keys stored internally.

**Note:** the TOE only performs operation with private key. Public key operation (RSA encryption) is performed by the host.

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-
	Security Target	14/82

### HMAC-SHA256 SIGNATURE/ VERIFICATION

The TOE allows the user to sign buffers or verify buffer signature using SHA256 in HMAC mode. The signature key is one of the symmetric keys stored internally. This feature is excluded from the evaluated configuration.

### AES ENCRYPTION / DECRYPTION

The TOE allows buffer encryption or decryption using AES in ECB or CBC mode. The key is one of the symmetric keys stored internally.

By default symmetric keys have a “disable security check” attribute set to false: each symmetric key is limited to perform 10.000 block operation (encryption and decryption). This check can be disabled at key creation.

**Remark:** RSA, AES and HMAC operation offer PKCS#11 functionalities. The related key management is provided by the TOE. A user can generate, import cryptographic keys, set their extractable attribute. An administrator can also generate or import cryptographic keys and set their extractable attributes during the certification process. In this case the user will be able to manage these keys just as if they were imported by him.

### LOCAL PROTECTION KEYS OBTENTION

The TOE has 2 “local protection master keys”, which are not extractable. The TOE allows deriving these keys for the user to obtain 256 bits keys which may be used for local protection. This feature is excluded from the evaluated configuration.

### RANDOM NUMBER GENERATION

The TOE allows random number generation using the card processor true random generator which is post-processed by a cryptographic deterministic random number generator.

### WIPE ORDER

The TOE allows the user to send it a wipe command. This command erases every secret contained inside the TOE: the TOE has the same content as when it was delivered to the customer. The only commands allowed in this state are to get the card status and to recycle the card, to be able to reuse it.

### 2.3.3 TOE ADDITIONAL FEATURES

This part describes some features of the TOE independent from user actions.

#### APDU ENCRYPTION

Because an attacker has the possibility to listen to the communication channel between the TOE and the host, the TOE implements an encryption mechanism which protects this channel. All sensitive command and associated answer is sent encrypted. Security code entries, PUK unlock commands, and every other command sent when the user has authenticated to the TOE is sent protected in confidentiality.

The encryption mechanism provides the following protection to communication between the TOE and the host:

- Protection in confidentiality through AES encryption;
- Protection in integrity through symmetric signature (retail AES-CBC mac, also called EMAC): any command modified by an attacker is detected and rejected;
- Protection against replay: an attacker can't replay an encrypted command he previously intercepted.

#### POWER CONSUMPTION MANAGEMENT

The TOE implements functions to efficiently manage power consumption. The TOE is a smartcard which must be powered on to perform its operations. If unpowered, the user shall re-authenticate to be able to use it.

The TOE implements an ephemeral security code mechanism to manage these re-authentications. Once the user is authenticated, the TOE generates an ephemeral security code at random and sends it to the host. This ephemeral security code is managed by the TOE driver on the host.

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-
	Security Target	15/82

The TOE driver uses it to automatically re-authenticate the user after a TOE power off. The user has no action to perform by himself: the loss of power becomes transparent. When the host device is locked by the user, the driver erases the ephemeral security code from its memory forbidding its compromise by an attacker.

If authentication using this ephemeral code fails, the TOE erases the ephemeral code it stores and returns with error.

**“LIGHT” UNLOCK**

When the host device has been locked, some software shall be able to perform cryptographic operations in background. To handle this case the TOE has a special state called RESUMED\_USER. In this state the user has a limited access to the TOE. Some PKCS #11 operations are forbidden: each key has a user defined “useable in resumed” attribute. If this attribute is set to false, any command using the corresponding key is forbidden (as if the TOE was locked).

The TOE may reach the RESUMED\_USER after a power loss by sending a dedicated correctly encrypted command. The fact that this command is correctly encrypted implicitly authenticates the user as:

- The symmetric signature of the APDU encryption mechanism proves that the driver possesses the correct encryption key
- The driver may possess the correct encryption key if and only if the user already successfully authenticated to the TOE.

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-	
	Security Target		16/82

### 2.3.4 TOE LIFE CYCLE

This part describes the different states of the TOE, with the corresponding possible transitions.




Figure 2: Cryptosmart card life cycle

Cryptosmart cards consist of a Cryptosmart Java applet installed on a Javacard platform compatible with Javacard 2.2.1 and Global Platform 2.2. Cryptosmart cards can be in one of the states described in Figure 1.

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-
	Security Target	17/82

Life Cycle State	Comment
<b>NOT_INSTALLED</b>	Card delivered by the card manufacturer to ERCOM. This state is never seen by the end customer.
<b>PRE-PERSONALIZATION</b>	Card with CryptoSmart applet loaded.
<b>PERSONALIZATION</b>	Card with CryptoSmart applet and serial number loaded. This is the way the card is initially provided to the customer.
<b>UNVALIDATED_USER</b>	Card state when the card contains its keys. The card is not Security Code unlocked.
<b>VALIDATED_USER</b>	Card unlocked with validated Security Code or ephemeral security code.
<b>RESUMABLE</b>	Card after a card reset from a validated user state
<b>RESUMED_USER</b>	Card after a successful resume command from RESUMABLE state
<b>BLOCKED_USER</b>	Card blocked after repeated Security Code errors.
<b>WIPED</b>	Card with every keys erased

Table 1 : Cryptosmart card life states

### NOT\_INSTALLED AND PRE-PERSONALIZATION

These states are the smartcard states through which the TOE passes before being delivered to the user.

During these states Ercom:

- Inserts the applet inside the card;
- Inserts the card serial number;
- Configures card functionalities.

### PERSONALIZATION

The Cryptosmart card is in this state when delivered to the customer. This state allows card personalization i.e.:

- User key pair generation or import
- User symmetric key generation or import
- User certificate import
- PUK codes generation
- Family key insertion
- Recycle code insertion
- Security code and its properties insertion

Only a subset of the functions of the TOE is accessible in this state.

Personalization operations can be performed using the card-admin station which also allows management of the cards.

Once every user keys have been inserted, the card may get into the UNVALIDATED\_USER state. This state transition is explicitly ordered by a call to the function “create card”.

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-
	Security Target	18/82

## UNVALIDATED\_USER

The smartcard is in this state when no security code has been successfully entered inside one session. This state allows access only to the functions:

- Get card information;
- Initialize the APDU encryption;
- User authentication;
- Wipe the card;
- Card recycle.

Once the security code has been successfully entered, the card shall get into the VALIDATED\_USER state.

If the maximum security code entry attempt has been reached, the card shall get into the BLOCKED\_USER state.

After a successful recycle code entry, the card shall get into a PERSONALIZATION state.

A wipe order turns the card into a WIPED state.

## VALIDATED\_USER

In this state, every user function is accessible.

The VALIDATED\_USER state corresponds to the operational state: a fully personalized card, with the user security code successfully entered.

After a successful recycle code entry, the card shall get into a PERSONALIZATION state.

After a log off, the card gets into an UNVALIDATED\_USER state.

After a card reset (power off), the card gets into a RESUMABLE state if allowed by configuration.

After a successful recycle code entry, the card shall get into a PERSONALIZATION state.

A wipe order turns the card into a WIPED state.

## RESUMABLE

This state allows every one of the following actions and no other:

- Get card information
- Enter the security code
- Wipe the card;
- Resume command
- Log off

Once the security code has been successfully entered, the card shall get into the VALIDATED\_USER state.

If the resume command is successful and contains the ephemeral security code, the card shall get into the VALIDATED\_USER state.

After too many unsuccessful security code entries, the card shall get into a BLOCKED\_USER state.

If the resume command is successful without the ephemeral security code, the card shall get into the RESUMED\_USER state.

If the resume command is unsuccessful, the card shall get into the UNVALIDATED\_USER state.

After a log off command, the card must get into the UNVALIDATED\_USER state.

After a successful recycle code entry, the card shall get into a PERSONALIZATION state.

A wipe order turns the card into a WIPED state.

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-	
	Security Target		19/82

## RESUMED\_USER

The smartcard is in this state when a successful resume without ephemeral security command has been sent to the card from the RESUMABLE state.

This state allows only a restricted usage of the TOE:

- The authentication protocol and the derivation function are accessible
- Usage of the Cryptosmart card as a cryptographic token is allowed, but the usage of some keys in this state may be forbidden by the user

Once the security code has been successfully entered, the card shall get into the VALIDATED\_USER state.

After too many unsuccessful security code entries, the card shall get into a BLOCKED\_USER state.

After a log off command the card must get into the UNVALIDATED\_USER state.

After a card reset, the card shall get into a RESUMABLE state.

After a successful recycle code entry, the card shall get into a PERSONALIZATION state.

A wipe order turns the card into a WIPED state.

## BLOCKED\_USER

The card is in this state once the maximum security code entry has been reached. The card shall refuse any command except a PUK code entry or commands to get the card status.

This state allows every one of the following actions, and no other:

- Get card information
- Enter PUK code

After a successful PUK code entry, the card shall get into a VALIDATED\_USER state.

After 10 successive wrong PUK code entries, the card shall get into a WIPED state.

If no PUK code is available the card shall immediately get into a WIPED state.

After a successful recycle code entry, the card shall get into a PERSONALIZATION state.

A wipe order turns the card into a WIPED state.

## WIPED

The card is in this state once the PUK code entry maximum attempts number has been reached; or the card has received a “wipe” order.

The card shall refuse any command except a recycle or a get card status command.

In this state every customer secret has been erased except the recycle key.

After a successful recycle code entry, the card shall get into a PERSONALIZATION state.

### 2.3.5 TOE USER

The TOE possesses two users. They are identified implicitly depending on TOE state

The card administrator is the TOE user while it is in PERSONALIZATION or WIPED states. He performs card personalization actions. In WIPED state the card administrator may recycle the card (using the recycle key). As in these states the commands require no authentication the administrator shall operate the card in a secure environment.

The second user is the “local user”. He is the TOE user while it is in UNVALIDATED\_USER, VALIDATED\_USER, RESUMABLE, RESUMED\_USER, or BLOCKED states.

### 2.3.6 USER CARDS AND GATEWAY CARDS

The cryptosmart card can be personalized into two card types: user cards and gateway cards.

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-
	Security Target	20/82

Gateway cards are cryptosmart card intended to be used in a server and may be used heavily. For these reasons, gateway cards differ from user cards by the possibility to reuse the private Diffie-Hellman parameter for cryptosmart mutual authentication protocol run.

Otherwise the card would only offer a limited number of authentications as only a limited write number in EEPROM are allowed. The host has to reset this parameter for the card to use a new one.

In the scope of this evaluation we assert that the host sends a command to renew the private DH parameter after each authentication protocol run.

The administrator has also the possibility to set a 0 length security code for Gateway cards. In the scope of this evaluation we assert this is not the case.

## 2.4 COMPOSITE TOE SCOPE

The TOE to be evaluated is composed of TOE part 1 and TOE part 2.

TOE part 1 identifies the certified platform ID-ONE COSMO V7.0JavaCard OS. This TOE part includes software (the firmware, the Card Manager and the operating system) embedded on a microcontroller

According to [IOC7-ST] compatible chips are:

- NXP P5CD041V1A,
- NXP P5CD081V1A,
- NXP P5CC081V1A.

([IOC7-ST] also mentions NXP P5CD016V1A and NXP P5CD021V1A which are two configuration options present in the IC evaluation, but which are not used for the platform evaluation).

It is a Java Card platform compliant with Java Card 2.2.1 and Visa GlobalPlatform 2.1.1.

TOE part 2 identifies the cryptosmart applet v5.0. This applet is a Java Card application which enables the previously described features.


The TOE communicates with a terminal device (for example a PC with a card reader) by APDU messages compliant with the ISO/IEC 7816-4 standard. This terminal device is identified as “the host” in this document.

APDU messages may only be transmitted using the contact interface in the evaluated configuration.

This means that the platform must be either:

- The ID-One Cosmo V7.0.1-n Standard on P5CC081 V1A component;
- The ID-One Cosmo V7.0.1-n Standard Dual on P5CD081 V1A component; **with the contactless interface deactivated**
- The ID-One Cosmo V7.0.1-n Basic Dual on P5CD041 V1A component; **with the contactless interface deactivated**

A deactivated contactless interface can be identified by checking the “Interface lock” of the platform which second bit (masked by 0x02) must be set to 1.



The TOE scope is represented Figure 3. [IOC7-ST] gives full details on TOE part 1 scope.

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-	
	Security Target		21/82




Figure 3: TOE scope

The TOE part 1 provides the following services used by TOE part 2:

- Security code management and user authentication through the PIN object;
- Cryptography services including symmetric encryption and decryption, RSA encryption and decryption, RSA signature and verification and generation of random data;
- Administration service including downloading and installation of the applet;
- Application isolation (Java Card Firewall);
- Physical protection of stored data ensuring their confidentiality and integrity.

The TOE part 2 provides the services which will be used by the cryptosmart user. These services were previously described § 2.2.

#### 2.4.1 TOE PART 2 SCOPE OF EVALUATION

The scope of evaluation encompasses all the security features offered by TOE part 2 with the exception of the symmetric signature service and the local protection key obtention.

The evaluated TOE also fixes TOE configuration choices. The TOE administrator must:

- Set a minimal security code length between 4 and 8 to forbid usage of the TOE without security code;

Moreover the TOE evaluation scope fixes some constraints on TOE usage:

- In case of gateway card the DH parameter used for distant authentication must be renewed after each authentication by using the appropriate command;
- Sensitive symmetric keys must have the signature usage attribute set to false.
- Sensitive symmetric keys must have the “disable security check” attribute set to false.

TOE configuration is performed by Ercom during the PRE-PERSONALIZATION state, where it initializes the internal random generator; inserts the product serial number and creates files which may be used by the customer. The evaluation scope includes any such configuration.

In terms of life cycle the evaluated configuration includes every state starting from PERSONALIZATION.

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-
	Security Target	22/82

### 3. SECURITY PROBLEM DEFINITION

#### 3.1 ASSETS TO PROTECT

This section lists the assets which are security relevant for the TOE.

##### 3.1.1 TOE PART 1 ASSETS

For a detailed description of these assets refer to [IOC7-ST].

##### 3.1.2 TOE PART 2 ASSETS

Assets for TOE part 2 are divided into three categories:

- Primary assets which are user data from IT environment which shall be protected using the security functions offered by the TOE. This intended protection is addressed by organizational security policies;
- Secondary assets which are needed by the TOE to offer its security services. We divide secondary assets into:
  - User assets: these are data created by or for the user.
  - TSF assets: these are data created by the TOE or for the TOE internal usage.

##### 3.1.3 PRIMARY ASSETS

###### D.PLAINTEXT\_DATA

These are user data which need protection in confidentiality. TOE user uses the TOE to protect them in confidentiality.

###### D.USER\_STORED\_KEYS

These are the RSA or symmetric keys stored by the user inside the TOE. Each of the key is stored with their attributes. The asset includes both the key and attributes.

Symmetric keys with identifier 0 and 1 may only be used to get local protection keys. As this feature is not part of the TOE these two keys are excluded from D.USER\_STORED\_KEYS

###### D.USER\_STORED\_DATA

The TOE allows the user to store raw data. This asset represents these data, such as the user certificate.

##### 3.1.4 USER ASSETS

###### D.SESSION\_KEYS

These are the keys negotiated during the Cryptosmart authentication protocol. These keys are derived into keys which will be used by external application (the Cryptosmart suite for instance). These keys are present in plaintext inside the TOE (when managed by the Cryptosmart applet) or in wrapped form when outside the TOE.

###### D.USER\_SIGNATURE\_KEY

This is the RSA signature key used during the authentication protocol. This key is the private RSA key which public key is contained in the user certificate. It is not extractable.

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-
	Security Target	23/82

## D.USER\_AUTH\_CODE

These are the codes allowing getting the TOE in a VALIDATED\_USER state.

Authentication codes are:

- the security code of the user: it allows to login successfully to the TOE (a TOE state change from UNVALIDATED\_USER to VALIDATED\_USER);
- the PUK codes: it allows to unblock the TOE (a TOE state change from BLOCKED\_USER to VALIDATED\_USER)
- the ephemeral security code: it allows a TOE state change from RESUMABLE to VALIDATED\_USER
- the recycle code: it allows a TOE state change from any state to PERSONALIZATION

### 3.1.5 TSF DATA

#### D.TOE\_INTERNAL\_DATA

Internal data are the keys which impact only internal behavior of the TOE.

Some of them are inserted in the PERSONALIZATION state. These keys are:

- The keys derived from the family key
- The wrapping key (includes both encryption and MAC keys)
- The APDU initialization key which is an RSA encryption key used to initialize the APDU encryption mechanism. It allows the TOE to exchange APDU encryption keys (D.APDU\_KEY) with the host

Some others are generated and stored inside the TOE. These are:

- The RNG internal state.

#### D.TOE\_INTERNAL\_INFORMATION

Internal information is data used by the TOE with no need of confidentiality but which shall not be modified. This information is needed for a proper behavior of the TOE and are:

- The card state.
- The card flags giving information on already performed security initialization function.
- The commercial version number of the applet.
- The applet source code release identifier.
- The build version of the applet.
- The applet compilation date.
- The card serial number.
- The remaining number of times an incorrect Security Code that can be presented before the card is blocked.
- The number identifying the current PUK code.
- The number of times an incorrect PUK code can be presented before the card is blocked.

#### D.APDU\_KEYS

These are the APDU encryption and APDU integrity keys.

#### D.APP\_CODE

The code of the applet (TOE part 2) loaded on the card (TOE part 1).

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-
	Security Target	24/82

### 3.1.6 SECURITY NEEDS

Asset	Confidentiality	Integrity	Availability
D.PLAINTEXT_DATA	Yes	No	No
D.USER_STORED_KEYS	Yes	Yes	No
D.USER_STORED_DATA	Yes	Yes	No
D.SESSION_KEYS	Yes	Yes	No
D.USER_SIGNATURE_KEY	Yes	Yes	No
D.USER_AUTH_CODE	Yes	Yes	No
D.TOE_INTERNAL_DATA	Yes	Yes	No
D.TOE_INTERNAL_INFORMATION	No	Yes	No
D.APDU_KEYS	Yes	Yes	No
D.APP_CODE	No	Yes	No

Table 2: Assets security needs

### 3.2 ASSUMPTIONS

#### A.TRUSTED\_ADMIN

The smartcard administrator is not careless, willfully negligent or hostile and does not voluntarily disclose data he inserted in the TOE during configuration.

#### A.TRAINED\_ADMIN

The smartcard administrator is correctly trained to the TOE usage.

#### A.CONFIGURATION

The TOE is correctly configured while in PERSONALIZATION state. Configuration is considered correct if:

- The signature private key matches the public key contained in the user certificate.
- The administrator has correctly set the required security code length.

#### A.KEY\_QUALITY

Keys generated outside the TOE are of appropriate quality. Appropriate quality may be reached by following the ANSSI rules for key generation from [KEY\_ANSSI].

#### A.SECURE\_KEY\_MANAGEMENT

Some keys are generated outside the TOE and may be stored by the administrator (to recreate a card for the same user). An attacker may not have knowledge of these keys during their generation, transmission to the administrator or by accessing their backup.

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-
	Security Target	25/82

### 3.3 THREATS

The threats listed below concern directly the TOE part 2. All the platform threats from [IOC7-ST] are also relevant to the composite security target.

The following threats are adapted from the [PP CM] protection profile:

#### T.TOE\_DATA\_CORRUPTION

A local or distant attacker can modify the user keys, user data or TSF data stored or in use inside the TOE. This may for instance allow the attacker to replace user key by keys he knows or weaken targeted keys.. A consequence of this threat is leading to attacks defeating OSP.SYMMETRIC\_ENCRYPTION

*Impacted assets:* every identified asset except D.PLAINTEXT\_DATA

#### T.TOE\_DATA\_COMPROMISE

A local or distant attacker can obtain the user keys, user data or TSF data stored or in use inside the TOE. A consequence of this threat is leading to attacks defeating OSP.SYMMETRIC\_ENCRYPTION

*Impacted assets:* every identified asset except D.PLAINTEXT\_DATA

#### T.ABUSE\_FUNC

An attacker with high attack potential may use TOE functions intended for installation or configuration of the TOE which shall not be used for operational cryptographic keys or user data in order

- to disclose or manipulate user data or keys, or
- to enable attacks against the integrity or confidentiality of user data or keys by:
  - manipulating (explore, bypass, deactivate or change) security features or functions of the TOE or
  - disclosing or manipulating TSF Data.
- to enable attacks against the integrity of TOE part 2 code (D.APP\_CODE)

*Impacted assets:* every identified asset

#### T.PHYSICAL

The attacker discloses or modifies the design of the TOE, its sensitive data or application code by physical (opposed to logical) tampering means. This threat includes failure analysis, electrical probing, unexpected tearing, and DP analysis.

This threat is taken from [IOC7 - ST]: the IC must be designed in accordance with a well-defined set of policies and standards (likely specified in another protection profile), and will be tamper resistant to actually prevent an attacker from extracting or altering security data (like cryptographic keys) by using commonly employed techniques (physical probing and sophisticated analysis of the chip). This especially matters to the management (storage and operation) of cryptographic keys. It also refers to all the security aspects related to confidentiality and integrity of code and data.

*Impacted assets:* every identified asset.

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-
	Security Target	26/82

## T.MASQUERADE

An attacker with high attack potential may masquerade as an authorized data source or receiver to perform operations that will be attributed to the authorized user or may gain undetected access to cryptographic module causing potential violations of integrity or confidentiality of the user data, the user keys or the TSF data.

For instance the attacker can have access or modify the user security code or PUK codes, in order to get illegitimate access to the TOE.

*Impacted asset:* D.USER\_AUTH\_CODE D.USER\_STORED\_KEYS D.USER\_STORED\_DATA D.PLAINTEXT\_DATA D.ORIGINAL\_DATA D.TOE\_INTERNAL\_DATA

This security target also considers the following threats (some of them are taken from the platform security target [IOC7 - ST]):

### T.KEY\_DERIVE

A local or distant attacker with high attack potential is able to compute private key from publicly available data (for instance compute an RSA private key from the corresponding public key, or perform a brute force attack on a symmetric key from known plaintext).

*Impacted assets:* D.USER\_SIGNATURE\_KEY D.USER\_STORED\_KEYS

### T.INTERFACE\_EAVESDROP

A local attacker with high attack potential can act on the TOE interfaces in order to

- get knowledge of user data or user keys, or
- modify user data or user keys when transmitted to the TOE.

*Impacted assets:* D.USER\_AUTH\_CODE D.USER\_STORED\_KEYS D.PLAINTEXT\_DATA D.ORIGINAL\_DATA D.USER\_STORED\_DATA

### T.INTEG\_APPLI\_CODE

An attacker alters TOE part 2 code either by

- executing an application on TOE part 1, or
- when an application package is transmitted to the card for installation.

This threat is a combination of two threats from [IOC7 - ST]: T.INTEG-APPLI-CODE and T.INTEG-APPLI-CODE.LOAD restricted to the sensitive asset D.APP\_CODE.

*Impacted assets:* D.APP\_CODE

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-
	Security Target	27/82

### 3.4 OSP

This part describes the organizational security policies the TOE shall conform to. We divide these OSP into 3 categories the directives and rules the TOE shall follow; the security policies that TOE usage allows to cover; and OSP which allow reaching the aimed security level.

#### 3.4.1 DIRECTIVES AND RULES

##### OSP.RGS\_CRYPTO

Cryptographic mechanisms conform to rules and recommendation from [CRYPTO\_ANSSI].

Keys are generated with mechanisms conformant to rules and recommendation from [KEY\_ANSSI].

#### 3.4.2 IT SECURITY POLICY

##### OSP.MUTUAL\_AUTHENTICATION

The TOE shall allow to authenticate remote users and to negotiate a shared secret key. The TOE shall forbid an attacker with high attack potential to cause the TOE to run successfully the Cryptosmart authentication protocol by forging or replaying authentication packets. (The attacker's goal is to be falsely authenticated by the TOE which could lead to the possibility of man in the middle attacks)

##### OSP.KEY\_STORAGE

The TOE shall allow storage of cryptographic keys in such a way they are protected from modification or disclosure.

##### OSP.SYMMETRIC\_ENCRYPTION

The TOE shall allow protecting the confidentiality of information represented by user data which may get known by an attacker using a symmetric encryption algorithm.

##### OSP.RSA\_PRIVATE\_KEY\_OPERATION

The TOE shall allow performing the following operation using an RSA private key:

- RSA signature with PKCS#1.5 padding
- RSA decryption with PKCS#1.5 or PKCS#1.5-OAEP padding

#### 3.4.3 SECURITY ENSURING OSP

##### OSP.LOCAL\_AUTHENTICATION

Users must be authenticated prior to accessing any controlled TOE resources with the exception of read access to public objects. After a pre-defined authentication failures number, access to controlled resources shall be blocked.

Authentication may be performed by:

- User entered security code verification;
- PUK code verification (only if the TOE is in BLOCKED state)
- Automatic authentication by the driver using an ephemeral security code which must have been generated by the TOE;
- Implicit authentication by proof of knowledge of secrets for the secure communication channel with the TOE. This last case allows only a limited usage of the TOE.

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-
	Security Target	28/82

**OSP.PUK\_UNBLOCK**

User can unblock access to TOE controlled resources by using a PUK code. Any PUK code may only be used once. After a pre-defined PUK entry failures sensitive data shall be wiped.

**OSP.DATA\_WIPE**

The TOE provides a way to wipe controlled sensitive assets.

**OSP.ACCESS\_CONTROL**

The TOE must limit the extent of each user's abilities to use the TOE functions in accordance with the current TOE authentication state.

**OSP.TOE\_AUDIT**

The TOE provides an interface to the hosted application, which allows peeking in its internal state.

Moreover the TOE informs the host of occurred security events in order for the host to record them in a security journal. Reported security events shall include:

- User authentication failure
- Mutual authentication and session key establishment faults
- Cryptographic errors

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-	
	Security Target		29/82

## 4. SECURITY OBJECTIVES

### 4.1 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE TOE

This section defines the security objectives for the composite TOE. All the platform security objectives listed in [IOC7-ST] are considered as included into this composite security target. The objectives listed below are those specifically relevant for the cryptosmart applet (TOE part 2). They are satisfied either by technical countermeasure implemented by the cryptosmart applet, by the platform or by a combination of the two.

#### O.USER\_AUTHENTICATION

The TOE shall authenticate the user before providing access to any controlled resources with the exception of read access to card state or APDU encryption initialization. The security functions for authentication of users shall have strength "high".

Authentication shall be performed by:

- User entered security code verification;
- PUK code verification (only if the TOE is in BLOCKED state)
- Automatic authentication by the driver using an ephemeral security code which must have been generated by the TOE;
- Implicit authentication by proof of knowledge of secrets for the secure communication channel with the TOE. This last case allows only a limited usage of the TOE.

This objective does not apply to TOE administrator which may only use the TOE in PERSONALIZATION state.

#### O.PUK\_UNBLOCK

When in BLOCKED state the TOE shall allow the user to unblock it by usage of a PUK code. PUK codes must have been generated by the TOE.

Each PUK code may only be successfully used once.

#### O.STRONG\_SECCODE

The TOE shall restrict the choice of the user security code according to administrator defined minimum length.

The TOE shall restrict PUK codes and ephemeral security codes to TOE generated secrets.

#### O.LIMITED\_AUTH\_NUMBER

The TOE shall go in BLOCKED state after 3 successive failed security code entries.

The TOE shall go in WIPED state after 10 failed PUK code entries.

The TOE shall manage only 15 PUK codes. When the card is blocked and no PUK codes are available the TOE shall go in WIPED state.

#### O.FUNCTION\_ACCESS\_CONTROL

The TOE shall restrict the access to its services, depending on the TOE state and the services explicitly assigned to this state. Assignment of services to state shall be done by default and may not be changed.

#### O.CRYPTOGRAPHIC\_OPERATION

The TOE shall ensure the cryptographic quality of random number generation. For instance random numbers shall not be predictable and shall have sufficient entropy.

The TOE shall perform valid AES encryption and decryption, MAC signature, RSA signature and decryption.

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-
	Security Target	30/82

## O.STRONG\_MUTUAL\_AUTHENTICATION

The TOE shall provide cryptographic functions to authenticate remote users and to negotiate a shared secret key.

The TOE shall make impossible for an online attacker to get knowledge of the session key negotiated with the remote card whose identifier is furnished by the TOE, even if he later acquires knowledge of the private RSA keys of both cards and if he has intercepted all the flows between cards of the same family before and after the session.

An online attacker must be unable to cause the TOE to run successfully the authentication protocol without a valid certificate (even without getting knowledge of the negotiated key).

## O.KEY\_MANAGEMENT

The TOE shall provide a means to securely manage and store user keys (D.USER\_STORED\_KEYS and D.USER\_SIGNATURE\_KEY). This concerns the correct generation, access and destruction of cryptographic keys. This includes:

- Keys shall be generated in accordance with specified cryptographic key generation algorithms and specified cryptographic key sizes;
- The TOE shall be able to import and store user keys;
- User keys shall be associated with security attributes. Assignment of security attribute to a key shall be done by explicit action of the TOE administrator or TOE user or by default.
- User may only change security attributes to more restrictive values
- The TOE shall restrict the access to the user keys according to their security attributes and the TOE state.
- Keys shall be destroyed in accordance with specified cryptographic key destruction methods.

## O.PROTECT\_SESSION\_KEY

The TOE shall make impossible for an attacker to recover session keys (D.SESSION\_KEYS) when stored by the host.

The TOE shall make impossible for an attacker to modify session keys when stored by the host without detection.

## O.APDU\_ENCRYPTION

The TOE shall provide an encryption mechanism to protect the confidentiality and integrity of commands to and responses from the TOE.

The TOE shall encrypt every response when in VALIDATED\_USER, RESUMABLE or RESUMED\_USER state if the command was sent encrypted with the exception of mutual authentication packets.

In VALIDATED\_USER, RESUMABLE or RESUMED\_USER state every command sent in plaintext shall be refused; with the exception of read access to card state or APDU encryption initialization.

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-	
	Security Target		31/82

## O.SENSITIVE\_MEMORY\_ERASING

The TOE shall erase by zeroization sensitive assets that are not currently in use:

- session key after usage;
- security code when card is blocked;
- ephemeral security code on ephemeral security code entry error;
- PUK code after usage

## O.WIPE

The TOE shall be able to wipe every personalization data (except the recycle key) and user keys. Wipe shall be initiated:

- On user request, or
- When the PUK codes tries limits is reached, or
- When the TOE has no available PUK code and the security code tries limit has been reached.

## SECURITY OBJECTIVES FROM THE PLATFORM

Some threats defined in this security target are covered totally or partially by security objectives from the platform (defined in [IOC7 - ST]). These security objectives are recalled here for convenience:

- **O.SECURE-COMPARE:** the TOE shall provide to applet a means to securely compare two byte arrays.
- **O.SCP.IC:** the smart card platform (TOE part 1) shall possess IC security features (see #.SCP from [JCS-PP]).
- **O.CARD-MANAGEMENT:** the card manager is in the scope of the TOE. IT shall control the access to card management functions such as the installation, update or deletion of applets. It shall also implement the card issuer's policy on the card. The card manager is an application with specific rights, which is responsible for the administration of the smart card. Typically the card manager shall be in charge of the life cycle of the whole card, as well as that of the installed applications (applets). The card manager should prevent that card content management (loading, installation, deletion) is carried out, for instance, at invalid states of the card or by non-authorized actors. It shall also enforce security policies established by the card issuer.
- **O.LOAD:** the TOE shall ensure that the loading of a package into the card is safe.

## 4.2 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE ENVIRONMENT

### OE.ADMIN

The card administrator shall not be careless, willfully negligent, or hostile, and shall follow the instructions provided by the administrator documentation.

### OE.CARD\_ADMIN\_STATION

The card admin station must check the consistency of data injected inside the TOE in PERSONALIZATION state.

### OE.KEY\_GENERATOR

The keys injected inside the TOE must have been generated by a key generator which mechanisms conform to rules and recommendation from [KEY\_ANSSI].

### OE.SECURE\_APPLET\_MANAGEMENT

The cryptosmart applet (TOE part 2) shall be developed, generated, stored and injected on platform (TOE part 1) in an environment not accessible physically or remotely by an attacker.

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-
	Security Target	32/82

**OE.SECURE\_PERSONALIZATION**

The TOE personalization (injection of user keys, user certificate, recycle key and family key) must be performed in an environment not accessible physically or remotely by an attacker.

**OE.SECURE\_KEY\_MANAGEMENT**

Externally generated TOE sensitive data (such as user keys, user certificate, family key, recycle key, etc.) must be transmitted to the card administrator in a secure way, and stored in an environment not accessible physically or remotely by an attacker.

**OE.SECURE\_SECCODE\_ENTRY**

The user enters or changes his security code only in a safe environment, with no one able to see the security code. In case of compromising suspicion, the user changes its code immediately.

**OE.NON\_TRIVIAL\_SECCODE**

The user security code shall be non-guessable (i.e. different from “0000”, “1234” or analog values).

The TOE administrator shall have set a strictly positive minimal length for the user security code.

**OE.HOST\_CORRECT\_BEHAVIOR**

The host behaves as expected. In particular while running the cryptosmart authentication protocol it correctly verifies that the distant certificate is valid and bound to the expected distant user’s identity; and does not leak the APDU encryption key. Moreover the host shall detect and inform the user when the APDU encryption initialization key has changed.

**OE.HOST\_AUDIT**

The host records in a security journal occurred security events such as:

- User authentication failure
- Mutual authentication and session key establishment faults
- Cryptographic errors

This journal is generated from error codes returned by the TOE.

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-	
	Security Target		33/82

## 5. SECURITY REQUIREMENTS

This section defines the security requirements satisfied by the TOE. Each requirement has been extracted from version 3.1 of the Common Criteria, part 2 providing functional requirements and part 3 providing assurance requirements.

The SFR are grouped under the security objective they cover. First are presented the SFR directly enforcing the security objective, then the dependencies of these SFR. SFR which are dependencies for several other SFRs are grouped together § 5.1.12.

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-	
	Security Target		34/82

**5.1 SECURITY FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS**

**5.1.1 O.USER\_AUTHENTICATION ENFORCING SFR**

**USER ATTRIBUTE DEFINITION (FIA\_ATD)**

<b>FIA_ATD.1 User attribute definition</b>	
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	No dependencies.
<b>FIA_ATD.1.1</b>	<p>The TSF shall maintain the following list of security attributes belonging to individual users:[</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>security code;</i></li> <li>• <i>security code failed entries counter;</i></li> <li>• <i>user security code block flag;</i></li> <li>• <i>PUK code number;</i></li> <li>• <i>PUK code failed entries counter;</i></li> <li>• <i>authenticated state (not authenticated, authenticated or light authenticated) ;</i></li> <li>• <i>ephemeral security code;</i></li> </ul> <p>].</p>

**USER AUTHENTICATION (FIA\_UAU)**

<b>FIA_UAU.1 Timing of authentication</b>	
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• FIA_UID.1 Timing of identification</li> </ul>
<b>FIA_UAU.1.1</b>	<p>The TSF shall allow [</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Get card information;</i></li> <li>• <i>Enter the security code;</i></li> <li>• <i>Card recycling;</i></li> <li>• <i>Card wiping;</i></li> <li>• <i>Initializing the APDU encryption key;</i></li> <li>• <i>Renew the APDU encryption IV;</i></li> <li>• <i>Usage of the TOE if it is in the PRE-PERSONALIZATION state</i></li> <li>• <i>Usage of the TOE if it is in the PERSONALIZATION state</i></li> </ul> <p>] on behalf of the user to be performed before the user is authenticated.</p>
<b>FIA_UAU.1.2</b>	The TSF shall require each user to be successfully authenticated before allowing any other TSF-mediated actions on behalf of that user.

<b>FIA_UAU.5 Multiple authentication mechanisms</b>	
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	No dependencies.
<b>FIA_UAU.5.1</b>	The TSF shall provide [ <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Authentication through security code presentation</i></li> <li>• <i>Authentication through ephemeral security code presentation</i></li> <li>• <i>Authentication through PUK code presentation</i></li> <li>• <i>Light authentication by successful usage of the trusted channel with the resume command</i></li> </ul> ] to support user authentication
<b>FIA_UAU.5.2</b>	The TSF shall require each user to be successfully authenticated before allowing any other TSF-mediated actions on behalf of that user.

**Application note:** the “FIA\_UAU.5 Multiple authentication mechanisms” SFR handles the state transitions to VALIDATED\_USER or RESUMED\_USER states. Authentication mechanisms are available depending on current TOE state. They allow state transition:

- From UNVALIDATED\_USER, RESUMABLE or RESUMED\_USER to VALIDATED\_USER state by using *Authentication through security code presentation*
- From RESUMABLE to VALIDATED\_USER state by using *Authentication through ephemeral security code presentation*
- From BLOCKED to VALIDATED\_USER state by using *Authentication through PUK code presentation*
- From RESUMABLE to RESUMED\_USER state by using *Light authentication by successful usage of the trusted channel with the resume command*

#### 5.1.2 O.PUK\_UNBLOCK ENFORCING SFR

This objective is handled by the “FIA\_UAU.5 Multiple authentication mechanisms”: the TOE is unblocked by authentication by PUK codes.

This last SFR ensures PUK codes may only be used once:

#### SPECIFICATION OF SECRETS (FIA\_SOS)

<b>FIA_UAU.4 Single-use authentication mechanisms</b>	
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	No dependencies.
<b>FIA_UAU.4.1</b>	The TSF shall prevent reuse of authentication data related to [ <i>authentication through PUK code presentation</i> ].

### 5.1.3 O.STRONG\_SECCODE ENFORCING SFR

#### SPECIFICATION OF SECRETS (FIA\_SOS)

FIA_SOS.1 Verification of secrets	
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	No dependencies.
<b>FIA_SOS.1.1</b>	The TSF shall provide a mechanism to verify that secrets meet [ <i>secret's length requirements</i> ].

**Application note:** user security code must have a length comprised between 4 and 8 characters. In PERSONALIZATION state, the minimum security code length may have been set to a value greater than 4 by the administrator. The security code may have a 0 length. This last case is explicitly excluded from the evaluation.

FIA_SOS.2 TSF Generation of secrets	
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	No dependencies.
<b>FIA_SOS.2.1</b>	The TSF shall provide a mechanism to generate secrets that meet [ <i>indistinguishability from random and length requirement</i> ].
<b>FIA_SOS.2.2</b>	The TSF shall be able to enforce the use of TSF generated secrets for [ <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Authentication through ephemeral security code presentation</i></li> <li>• <i>Authentication through PUK code presentation</i></li> </ul> ].

**Application note:**

- The length requirement for ephemeral security code is fixed to 8 bytes and may not be changed.
- The length requirement for PUK code is fixed to 8 digits and may not be changed

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-
	Security Target	37/82

#### 5.1.4 O.LIMITED\_AUTH\_NUMBER ENFORCING SFR

##### AUTHENTICATION FAILURES (FIA\_AFL)

<b>FIA_AFL.1 Authentication failure handling</b>	
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• FIA_UAU.1 Timing of authentication</li> </ul>
<b>FIA_AFL.1.1/userSC</b>	The TSF shall detect when [3] unsuccessful authentication attempts occur related to [user authentication by security code].
<b>FIA_AFL.1.2/userSC</b>	When the defined number of unsuccessful authentication attempts has been [mef], the TSF shall [ <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• turn the TOE to the BLOCKED state if a PUK code is available</li> <li>• turn the TOE to the WIPED state if no PUK code are available ].</li> </ul>
<b>FIA_AFL.1.1/ephSC</b>	The TSF shall detect when [1] unsuccessful authentication attempts occur related to [user authentication by ephemeral security code].
<b>FIA_AFL.1.2/ephSC</b>	When the defined number of unsuccessful authentication attempts has been [mef], the TSF shall [wipe the stored ephemeral security code].
<b>FIA_AFL.1.1/PUK</b>	The TSF shall detect when [10] unsuccessful authentication attempts occur related to [user authentication by PUK code].
<b>FIA_AFL.1.2/PUK</b>	When the defined number of unsuccessful authentication attempts has been [mef], the TSF shall [turn the TOE to the WIPED state].

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-
	Security Target	38/82

### 5.1.5 O.FUNCTION\_ACCESS\_CONTROL ENFORCING SFR

The TOE checks access rights for every command based on its current state. This part aims at defining the SFP which has this effect.

#### ACCESS CONTROL POLICY (FDP\_ACC)

FDP_ACC.2/access Complete access control	
Hierarchical to:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>FDP_ACC.1 Subset access control</li> </ul>
Dependencies:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>FDP_ACF.1 Security attribute based access control</li> </ul>
<b>FDP_ACC.2.1/access</b>	<p>The TSF shall enforce the [<i>SFP_OPERATION_ACCESS_CONTROL access control SFP</i>] on [</p> <p><b>subject:</b> <i>local user, administrator;</i></p> <p><b>objects:</b> <i>TOE part 2</i> ]</p> <p>and all operations among subjects and objects covered by the SFP.</p>
<b>FDP_ACC.2.2/access</b>	<p>The TSF shall ensure that all operations between any subject controlled by the TSF and any object controlled by the TSF are covered by an access control SFP.</p>

The subject (the local user or administrator) operates (sends a command) an object (the cryptosmart applet, i.e. TOE part 2).

There is only one possible operation between TOE part 2 and the local user or administrator which is sending a command as an APDU.

Each cryptosmart command possesses an attribute per TOE state which is a Boolean representing its authorization for this state.

This SFP aims at verifying whether the operation is authorized or not based on the command function identifier and the TOE state.

#### ACCESS CONTROL FUNCTIONS (FDP\_ACF)

FDP_ACF.1/access Security attribute based access control	
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>FDP_ACC.1 Subset access control</li> <li>FMT_MSA.3 Static attribute initialization</li> </ul>
<b>FDP_ACF.1.1/access</b>	<p>The TSF shall enforce the [<i>SFP_OPERATION_ACCESS_CONTROL access control SFP</i>] to objects based on the following: [<i>the TOE state and related operation authorization</i>].</p>
<b>FDP_ACF.1.2/access</b>	<p>The TSF shall enforce the following rules to determine if an operation among controlled subjects and controlled objects is allowed: [<i>the authorization attribute for the command is set to true for the current TOE state, as stated in Table 3</i>].</p>
<b>FDP_ACF.1.3/access</b>	<p>The TSF shall explicitly authorize access of subjects to objects based on the following additional rules: [<i>none</i>].</p>
<b>FDP_ACF.1.4/access</b>	<p>The TSF shall explicitly deny access of subjects to objects based on the following additional rules: [<i>none</i>].</p>

Ed : 2010B/346	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012	
Ver. : 5.0.8		Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-	
	Security Target		39/82

Function identifier	Function	PP	P	UU	VU	R	RU	B	W
0x00	Security code verification			X	X	X	X		
0x01	Authentication start				X		X		
0x02	Incoming authentication packet processing				X		X		
0x03	Recall	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
0x04	Certificate decoding result transmission				X		X		
0x05	Authentication reset				X		X		
0x06	Key derivation				X		X		
0x07	Continue	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
0x08	Generate Kapdu			X				X	
0x09	Renew APDU encryption IV			X		X		X	
0x0A	Resume					X			
0x0B	Log off			X	X	X	X	X	X
0x0C	Wipe card			X	X	X	X	X	X
0x0D	Enumerate RSA keys		X		X		X		
0x0E	Store external RSA key		X		X				
0x0F	Generate RSA key		X		X				
0x10	Private RSA key export		X		X				
0x11	Delete RSA key		X		X				
0x12	RSA key attributes change		X		X				
0x13	RSA public key export		X		X		X		
0x14	Buffer RSA decryption		X		X		X		
0x15	Buffer RSA signing without hash		X		X		X		
0x16	Renew DH value				X				
0x17	Get status	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
0x18	Enumerate symmetric keys		X		X		X		
0x19	Generate symmetric key		X		X				
0x1A	Import symmetric key		X		X				
0x1B	Delete symmetric key		X		X				
0x1C	Symmetric encryption (AES)		X		X		X		
0x1D	Symmetric signature (HMAC-SHA256)		X		X		X		

Function identifier	Function	PP	P	UU	VU	R	RU	B	W
0x1E	Get local encryption key				X		X		
0x1F	Symmetric key export		X		X				
0x20	Generate Kapdu			X				X	
0x21	Symmetric key attribute change		X		X				
0x22	Renew ephemeral security code				X				
0xE0	Create file	X							
0xE2	Write file	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
0xE3	Read file	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
0xEA	Security code change				X				
0xFA	Card recycle		X	X	X	X	X	X	X
0xFB	Create card		X						
Function identifier	Function	PP	P	UU	VU	R	RU	B	W

Table 3: Function access rights

This table uses the abbreviation:

- PP as PRE-PERSONALIZATION
- P as PERSONALIZATION
- UU as UNVALIDATED\_USER
- VU as VALIDATED\_USER
- R as RESUMABLE
- RU as RESUMED\_USER
- B as BLOCKED
- W as WIPED

**MANAGEMENT OF SECURITY ATTRIBUTES (FMT\_MSA)**

The security attributes for this policy are fixed and may not be changed:

<b>FMT_MSA.3/access Static attribute initialization</b>	
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>FMT_MSA.1 Management of security attributes</li> <li>FMT_SMR.1 Security roles</li> </ul>
<b>FMT_MSA.3.1/access</b>	The TSF shall enforce the [ <i>SFP_OPERATION_ACCESS_CONTROL access control SFP</i> ] to provide [ <i>fixed</i> ] default values for security attributes that are used to enforce the SFP.
<b>FMT_MSA.3.2/access</b>	The TSF shall allow the [ <i>none</i> ] to specify alternative initial values to override the default values when an object or information is created.

The “fixed” property for file access authorization means these default values were fixed at compilation time by the applet developer, and may not be changed.

<b>FMT_MSA.1/access Management of security attributes</b>	
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>[FDP_ACC.1 Subset access control, or FDP_IFC.1 Subset information flow control]</li> <li>FMT_SMR.1 Security roles</li> <li>FMT_SMF.1 Specification of Management Functions</li> </ul>
<b>FMT_MSA.1.1/access</b>	The TSF shall enforce the [ <i>SFP_OPERATION_ACCESS_CONTROL access control SFP</i> ] to restrict the ability to [ <i>modify</i> ] the security attributes [ <i>state authorization</i> ] to [ <i>none</i> ].

### 5.1.5.1 O.CRYPTOGRAPHIC\_OPERATION ENFORCING SFR

#### CRYPTOGRAPHIC OPERATION (FCS\_COP)

<b>FCS_COP.1 Cryptographic operation</b>	
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• FDP_ITC.1 Import of user data without security attributes, or FDP_ITC.2 Import of user data with security attributes, or FCS_CKM.1 Cryptographic key generation</li> <li>• FCS_CKM.4 Cryptographic key destruction</li> </ul>
<b>FCS_COP.1.1/AES</b>	The TSF shall perform [ <i>Encryption and decryption</i> ] in accordance with a specified cryptographic algorithm [ <i>AES</i> ] and cryptographic key sizes [ <i>256 and 128 bits</i> ] that meet the following: [ <i>FIPS PUB 197</i> ]
<b>FCS_COP.1.1/HMAC</b>	The TSF shall perform [ <i>MAC generation and verification</i> ] in accordance with a specified cryptographic algorithm [ <i>HMAC-SHA256</i> ] and cryptographic key sizes [ <i>256 and 128 bits</i> ] that meet the following: [ <i>FIPS PUB 198-1</i> ]
<b>FCS_COP.1.1/EMAC</b>	The TSF shall perform [ <i>MAC generation and verification</i> ] in accordance with a specified cryptographic algorithm [ <i>Retailed AES CBC-MAC</i> ] and cryptographic key sizes [ <i>256 bits</i> ] that meet the following: [ <i>none</i> ]
<b>FCS_COP.1.1/RSA_sig</b>	The TSF shall perform [ <i>signature</i> ] in accordance with a specified cryptographic algorithm [ <i>RSA</i> ] and cryptographic key sizes [ <i>2048 bits</i> ] that meet the following: [ <i>PKCS #1 v2.1</i> ]
<b>FCS_COP.1.1/RSA_enc</b>	The TSF shall perform [ <i>decryption</i> ] in accordance with a specified cryptographic algorithm [ <i>RSA</i> ] and cryptographic key sizes [ <i>2048 bits</i> ] that meet the following: [ <i>PKCS #1 v2.1</i> ]
<b>FCS_COP.1.1/random</b>	The TSF shall perform [ <i>random number generation</i> ] in accordance with a specified cryptographic algorithm [ <i>True random generator post-processed with AES</i> ] and cryptographic key sizes [ <i>128 bits</i> ] that meet the following: [ <i>none</i> ]
<b>FCS_COP.1.1/DH</b>	The TSF shall perform [ <i>key agreement</i> ] in accordance with a specified cryptographic algorithm [ <i>Diffie-Hellman</i> ] and cryptographic key sizes [ <i>2048 bits</i> ] that meet the following: [ <i>PKCS #3</i> ]

### 5.1.6 O.STRONG\_MUTUAL\_AUTHENTICATION ENFORCING SFR

The mutual authentication is performed using the cryptosmart authentication protocol. This protocol is based on the following SFR:

- FCS\_COP.1.1/random
- FCS\_COP.1.1/DH
- FCS\_COP.1.1/RSA\_sig
- FCS\_COP.1.1/HMAC
- FCS\_COP.1.1/AES
- FCS\_COP.1.1/EMAC

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-
	Security Target	43/82

**5.1.7 O.KEY\_MANAGEMENT ENFORCING SFR**

**5.1.7.1 KEY GENERATION AND IMPORT**

**CRYPTOGRAPHIC KEY MANAGEMENT (FCS\_CKM)**

<b>FCS_CKM.1 Cryptographic key generation</b>	
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• FCS_CKM.2 Cryptographic key distribution, or FCS_COP.1 Cryptographic operation]</li> <li>• FCS_CKM.4 Cryptographic key destruction</li> </ul>
<b>FCS_CKM.1.1/RSA</b>	The TSF shall generate cryptographic keys in accordance with a specified cryptographic key generation algorithm [ <i>Javacard API RSA key pair generation</i> ] and specified cryptographic key sizes [ <i>2048 bits</i> ] that meet the following: [ <i>none</i> ].
<b>FCS_CKM.1.1/AES_MAC</b>	The TSF shall generate cryptographic keys in accordance with a specified cryptographic key generation algorithm [ <i>random generation</i> ] and specified cryptographic key sizes [ <i>128 bits and 256 bits</i> ] that meet the following: [ <i>none</i> ].

**IMPORT FROM OUTSIDE OF THE TOE (FDP\_ITC)**

<b>FDP_ITC.2/keys Import of user data with security attributes</b>	
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• [FDP_ACC.1 Subset access control, or FDP_IFC.1 Subset information flow control]</li> <li>• [FTP_ITC.1 Inter-TSF trusted channel, or FTP_TRP.1 Trusted path]</li> <li>• FPT_TDC.1 Inter-TSF basic TSF data consistency</li> </ul>
<b>FDP_ITC.2.1/keys</b>	The TSF shall enforce the [ <i>SFP_KEY_ACCESS_CONTROL access control SFP</i> ] when importing user data, controlled under the SFP, from outside of the TOE.
<b>FDP_ITC.2.2/keys</b>	The TSF shall use the security attributes associated with the imported user data.
<b>FDP_ITC.2.3/keys</b>	The TSF shall ensure that the protocol used provides for the unambiguous association between the security attributes and the user data received.
<b>FDP_ITC.2.4/keys</b>	The TSF shall ensure that interpretation of the security attributes of the imported user data is as intended by the source of the user data.
<b>FDP_ITC.2.5/keys</b>	The TSF shall enforce the following rules when importing user data controlled under the SFP from outside the TOE: [ <i>none</i> ].

**Application note:** When the administrator imports user keys in the TOE, there is no usage of any trusted path as the security of the communication link is ensured by the environment.

**5.1.7.2 KEY DESTRUCTION**

**CRYPTOGRAPHIC KEY MANAGEMENT (FCS\_CKM)**

<b>FCS_CKM.4 Cryptographic key destruction</b>	
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>FDP_ITC.1 Import of user data without security attributes, or</li> <li>FDP_ITC.2 Import of user data with security attributes, or</li> <li>FCS_CKM.1 Cryptographic key generation</li> </ul>
<b>FCS_CKM.4.1/zero</b>	The TSF shall destroy cryptographic keys in accordance with a specified cryptographic key destruction method [ <i>zeroization</i> ] that meets the following: [ <i>nothing</i> ].
<b>FCS_CKM.4.1/java</b>	The TSF shall destroy cryptographic keys in accordance with a specified cryptographic key destruction method [ <i>The keys are reset in accordance with [JCAPI] in class Key with the method clearKey()</i> ] that meets the following: [ <i>JCAPI</i> ].

**Note:** the SFR FCS\_CKM.4.1/java only applies to keys stored in javacard Key objects.

Cryptographic keys which are stored as arrays are only erased by zeroization

**5.1.7.3 SECURITY ATTRIBUTES AND ACCESS CONTROL**

This section defines a SFP on Ob.USER\_STORED\_KEYS, Ob.USER\_SIGNATURE\_KEY and Ob.APDU\_INITIALIZATION\_KEY objects.

These objects are defined as:

- Ob.USER\_STORED\_KEYS corresponds to the D.USER\_STORED\_KEYS asset
- Ob.USER\_SIGNATURE\_KEY corresponds to the D.USER\_SIGNATURE\_KEY asset
- Ob.APDU\_INITIALIZATION\_KEY corresponds to the APDU initialization key which is part of the D.TOE\_INTERNAL\_DATA asset

These objects have the following attributes considered in this SFP:

- Key identifier
- Key type (symmetric or RSA)
- Useable in resume flag
- The “encryption” key usage flag
- The “sign” key usage flag
- Extractable flag
- The “disable security checks” flag
- A usage counter

**Note:** for asymmetric keys the key usage, “disable security checks” flags and usage counter are ignored (cf. FDP\_ACF.1/keys) and are therefore not implemented.

The possible operations on these objects are:

- Generation;
- Deletion;
- Import inside the TOE
- Export from the TOE
- Encrypt or decrypt
- Sign

Ed : 2010B/346	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012	
Ver. : 5.0.8		Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-	
	Security Target		45/82

ACCESS CONTROL POLICY (FDP\_ACC)

FDP_ACC.2/keys Complete access control	
Hierarchical to:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>FDP_ACC.1 Subset access control</li> </ul>
Dependencies:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>FDP_ACF.1 Security attribute based access control</li> </ul>
<b>FDP_ACC.2.1/keys</b>	<p>The TSF shall enforce the [<i>SFP_KEY_ACCESS_CONTROL access control SFP</i>] on [<i>subject: local user, administrator;</i></p> <p><i>objects: Ob.USER_STORED_KEYS, Ob.USER_SIGNATURE_KEY and Ob.APDU_INITIALIZATION_KEY</i>]</p> <p>and all operations among subjects and objects covered by the SFP.</p>
<b>FDP_ACC.2.2/keys</b>	<p>The TSF shall ensure that all operations between any subject controlled by the TSF and any object controlled by the TSF are covered by an access control SFP.</p>

ACCESS CONTROL FUNCTIONS (FDP\_ACF)

FDP_ACF.1/keys Security attribute based access control	
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>FDP_ACC.1 Subset access control</li> <li>FMT_MSA.3 Static attribute initialization</li> </ul>
<b>FDP_ACF.1.1/keys</b>	<p>The TSF shall enforce the [<i>SFP_KEY_ACCESS_CONTROL access control SFP</i>] to objects based on the following: [<i>TOE state and object attribute</i>].</p>
<b>FDP_ACF.1.2/keys</b>	<p>The TSF shall enforce the following rules to determine if an operation among controlled subjects and controlled objects is allowed: [</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Generation or import operation are allowed only if no object with same identifier and key type already exists;</i></li> <li><i>Export operation is always denied if the extractable attribute is set to false;</i></li> <li><i>Any operation is always denied if the TOE is in RESUMED_USER state and the “usable in resumed” attribute is set to false;</i></li> <li><i>The encrypt or decrypt operation is authorized only if</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>The key type is asymmetric and the key identifier attribute is different from 0 or 1, or</i></li> <li><i>The key type is symmetric and the “encryption” key usage attribute is set to true</i></li> </ul> </li> <li><i>The sign operation is authorized only if</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>The key type is asymmetric and the key identifier attribute is different from 0 or 1, or</i></li> <li><i>The key type is symmetric and the “sign” key usage attribute is set to true</i></li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>]</p>
<b>FDP_ACF.1.3/keys</b>	<p>The TSF shall explicitly authorize access of subjects to objects based on the following additional rules: [<i>none</i>].</p>
<b>FDP_ACF.1.4/keys</b>	<p>The TSF shall explicitly deny access of subjects to objects based on the following additional rules: [</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>The encrypt or decrypt operation is refused if the “disable security checks” key attribute is set to false and the usage counter as reached 10.000</i></li> </ul> <p>].</p>

Ed : 2010B/346	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012	
Ver. : 5.0.8		Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-	
	Security Target		46/82

EXPORT FROM THE TOE (FDP\_ETC)

FDP_ETC.1/keys Export of user data without security attributes	
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	[FDP_ACC.1 Subset access control, or FDP_IFC.1 Subset information flow control]
FDP_ETC.1.1/keys	The TSF shall enforce the [ <i>SFP_KEY_ACCESS_CONTROL access control SFP</i> ] when exporting user data, controlled under the SFP(s), outside of the TOE.
FDP_ETC.1.2/keys	The TSF shall export the user data without the user data's associated security attributes

MANAGEMENT OF SECURITY ATTRIBUTES (FMT\_MSA)

FMT_MSA.1/keys Management of security attributes	
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>[FDP_ACC.1 Subset access control, or FDP_IFC.1 Subset information flow control]</li> <li>FMT_SMR.1 Security roles</li> <li>FMT_SMF.1 Specification of Management Functions</li> </ul>
<b>FMT_MSA.1.1/keys</b>	The TSF shall enforce the [ <i>SFP_OPERATION_ACCESS_CONTROL access control SFP</i> ] to restrict the ability to [ <i>modify</i> ] the security attributes [ <i>Useable in resume, Extractable, "encryption" and "sign" key usage</i> ] to [ <i>user, administrator</i> ].

FMT_MSA.2/keys Secure security attributes	
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>[FDP_ACC.1 Subset access control, or FDP_IFC.1 Subset information flow control]</li> <li>FMT_MSA.1 Management of security attributes</li> <li>FMT_SMR.1 Security roles</li> </ul>
<b>FMT_MSA.2/keys</b>	The TSF shall ensure that only secure values are accepted for [ <i>Extractable, usable in resumed, "encryption" and "sign" key usage attributes</i> ].

This SFR applies:

- On key creation:
  - For keys with identifier 0 or 1 only fixed values are accepted, i.e. the "extractable", "encryption" and "sign" key usage attributes must be set to false, and the "usable in resumed" must be set to false for key with identifier 0 and to true for key with identifier 1;
- On attribute change: a user shall not be able to change security attributes to less restrictive ones. He cannot change any flags from false to true. It shall be impossible to allow an object to be exported when export was previously forbidden, or to allow performing previously forbidden operation.

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-
	Security Target	47/82

INTER-TSF TSF DATA CONSISTENCY (FPT\_TDC)

FPT_TDC.1 Inter-TSF basic TSF data consistency	
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	No dependencies
<b>FPT_TDC.1.1</b>	<p>The TSF shall provide the capability to consistently interpret [</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>User key attributes</i></li> </ul> <p>] when shared between the TSF and another trusted IT product.</p>
<b>FPT_TDC.1.2</b>	<p>The TSF shall use [</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The user key attribute is defined as two bytes: the first represent the key identifier, the second is formed as follows:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <i>Bit number starts at 0</i></li> <li>○ <i>Bit 1 is 1 if the extractable attribute is true, 0 otherwise</i></li> <li>○ <i>Bit 3 is 1 if the useable in resumed attribute is true, 0 otherwise</i></li> <li>○ <i>Bit 4 is 1 if the encryption attribute is true, 0 otherwise</i></li> <li>○ <i>Bit 5 is 1 if the signature attribute is true, 0 otherwise</i></li> <li>○ <i>Bit 6 is 1 if security checks are disabled, 0 otherwise</i></li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>] when interpreting the TSF data from another trusted IT product.</p>

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-
	Security Target	48/82

### 5.1.8 O.PROTECT\_SESSION\_KEY ENFORCING SFR

Session key are outputted symmetrically wrapped. This is based on already defined SFR FCS\_COP.1.1/AES and FCS\_COP.1.1/EMAC.

Wrapping keys may be either generated internally (FCS\_CKM.1.1/AES\_MAC) or imported inside the TOE.

The FDP\_ITC.1/keys dependency of FCS\_COP.1 can't apply as SFP\_KEY\_ACCESS\_CONTROL do not apply to wrapping keys. The following SFR allows fulfilling the dependency for wrapping key import:

#### IMPORT FROM OUTSIDE OF THE TOE (FDP\_ITC)

FDP_ITC.1/systemkey Import of user data without security attributes	
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>[FDP_ACC.1 Subset access control, or FDP_IFC.1 Subset information flow control]</li> <li>FMT_MSA.3 Static attribute initialization</li> </ul>
<b>FDP_ITC.1.1/systemkey</b>	The TSF shall enforce the [ <i>SFP_OPERATION_ACCESS_CONTROL</i> access control SFPs] when importing user data, controlled under the SFP, from outside of the TOE.
<b>FDP_ITC.1.2/systemkey</b>	The TSF shall ignore any security attributes associated with the user data when imported from outside the TOE.
<b>FDP_ITC.1.3/systemkey</b>	The TSF shall enforce the following rules when importing user data controlled under the SFP from outside the TOE: [ <i>none</i> ].

The dependency FMT\_MSA.3 will not be fulfilled as the TOE does not define security attribute for wrapping keys: their usage is fully controlled by SFP\_OPERATION\_ACCESS\_CONTROL which provides access control on the functions involving the wrapping key.

Ed : 2010B/346	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012	
Ver. : 5.0.8		Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-	
	Security Target		49/82

### 5.1.9 O.APDU\_ENCRYPTION ENFORCING SFR

#### TRUSTED PATH (FTP\_TRP)

FTP_TRP.1 Trusted path	
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	No dependencies.
<b>FTP_TRP.1.1</b>	The TSF shall provide a communication path between itself and [ <i>local</i> ] users that is logically distinct from other communication paths and provides assured identification of its end points and protection of the communicated data from [ <i>modification, disclosure and replay</i> ].
<b>FTP_TRP.1.2</b>	The TSF shall permit [ <i>local users</i> ] to initiate communication via the trusted path.
<b>FTP_TRP.1.3</b>	The TSF shall require the use of the trusted path for [ <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>user authentication by security code entry;</i></li> <li>• <i>card resume command;</i></li> <li>• <i>PUK code unlock;</i></li> <li>• <i>any command and TOE response in VALIDATED_USER, RESUMABLE or RESUMED_USER state, except</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <i>get status command</i></li> <li>○ <i>card recycle</i></li> <li>○ <i>Authentication packets transmission (but their responses for the host will be encrypted)</i></li> <li>○ <i>Log off</i></li> <li>○ <i>Wipe order</i></li> <li>○ <i>Continue or recall commands depending on initial command encryption</i></li> <li>○ <i>APDU encryption IV renewal</i></li> </ul> </li> </ul> ].

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-
	Security Target	50/82

**5.1.10 O.SENSITIVE\_MEMORY\_ERASING ENFORCING SFR**

RESIDUAL INFORMATION PROTECTION (FDP\_RIP)

<b>FDP_RIP.1 Subset residual information protection</b>	
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	No dependencies.
<b>FDP_RIP.1.1</b>	<p>The TSF shall ensure that any previous information content of a resource is made unavailable upon the [<i>deallocation of the resource from</i>] the following objects: [</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>session key</i></li> <li>• <i>security code</i></li> <li>• <i>ephemeral code</i></li> <li>• <i>PUK code</i></li> </ul> <p>].</p>

**Application note:** this SFR handles objects explicitly managed by the Cryptosmart applet (TOE part 2). Information content of these resources may transit through temporary location being either

- A transient byte buffer; in this case residual information protection is enforced by the SFR from the platform **FDP\_RIP.1/TRANSIENT**
- The APDU buffer; in this case residual information protection is enforced by the SFR from the platform **FDP\_RIP.1/APDU**

**5.1.11 O.WIPE ENFORCING SFR**

The wipe action is managed by already defined FCS\_CKM.4.1/zero, FCS\_CKM.4.1/java and FDP\_RIP.1:

- User keys are erased using FCS\_CKM.4.1/java;
- Personalization data are respectively erased by:
  - Security codes are erased using FDP\_RIP.1;
  - Keys derived from the family key by FCS\_CKM.4.1/java
  - Wrapping keys by FCS\_CKM.4.1/java;
  - Local protection master keys by FCS\_CKM.4.1/java

Wipe can be initiated:

- On user request.
- When the PUK codes tries limits is reached, which is handled by FIA\_AFL.1/PUK
- When the TOE has no available PUK code and the security code tries limit has been reached which is handled by FIA\_AFL.1/userSC

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-	
 <b>ERCOM</b>		Security Target	<b>51/82</b>

### 5.1.12 GENERIC DEPENDENCIES SFR

This section groups SFR which are dependencies for different other SFRs.

#### USER IDENTIFICATION (FIA\_UID)

**Application note:** as the TOE is single user, user authentication provides identification. Therefore the FIA\_UID dependency does not need to be fulfilled.

#### SECURITY MANAGEMENT ROLES (FMT\_SMR)

FMT_SMR.1 Security roles	
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>FIA_UID.1 Timing of identification</li> </ul>
<b>FMT_SMR.1.1</b>	The TSF shall maintain the roles [ <i>local user, administrator</i> ].
<b>FMT_SMR.1.2</b>	The TSF shall be able to associate users with roles.

#### SPECIFICATION OF MANAGEMENT FUNCTIONS (FMT\_SMF)

FMT_SMF.1 Specification of Management Functions	
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	No dependencies.
<b>FMT_SMF.1.1</b>	The TSF shall be capable of performing the following management functions: [ <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>key attribute change;</i></li> <li><i>security code minimum length setting;</i></li> <li><i>TOE personalization (import of cryptographic keys)].</i></li> </ul>

## 5.2 TOE SECURITY ASSURANCE REQUIREMENTS

The evaluation target must comply with parts 2 and 3 of the Common Criteria version 3.1 for the EAL4 level, augmented with ALC\_DVS.2 and AVA\_VAN.5. Table 4 summarizes the aimed assurance components.

Assurance class	Assurance component	Level	Description
<b>Development</b>	ADV_ARC	1	Security architecture description.
	ADV_FSP	4	Functional specification
	ADV_IMP	1	Implementation representation
	ADV_INT	N.A.	
	ADV_SPM	N.A.	
	ADV_TDS	3	TOE design
<b>Guidance documents</b>	AGD_OPE	1	Operational user guidance documentation
	AGD_PRE	1	Preparative procedure
<b>Life-cycle support</b>	ALC_CMC	4	Configuration Management (CM) Capabilities
	ALC_CMS	4	CM scope
	ALC_DEL	1	Delivery
	<b>ALC_DVS</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>Development security</b>
	ALC_FLR	N.A.	Flaw remediation
	ALC_LCD	1	Life cycle definition
	ALC_TAT	1	Tools and techniques
<b>Security target evaluation</b>	ASE_CCL	1	Conformance claims
	ASE_ECD	1	Extended components definition
	ASE_INT	1	ST introduction
	ASE_OBJ	2	Security objectives
	ASE_REQ	2	Derived security requirements
	ASE_SPD	1	Security problem definition
	ASE_TSS	1	TOE summary specification
<b>Tests</b>	ATE_COV	2	Test coverage
	ATE_DPT	1	Test depth
	ATE_FUN	1	Functional tests
	ATE_IND	2	Independent testing
<b>Vulnerability assessment</b>	<b>AVA_VAN</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>Vulnerability analysis</b>

Table 4: Cryptosmart card aimed assurance levels

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-
	Security Target	53/82

## 6. GLOBAL TOE SPECIFICATION

This chapter provides the TOE summary specification, a high-level definition of the security functions claimed to meet the functional and assurance requirements.

### 6.1 TOE SECURITY FUNCTIONS

The TOE security functions include the following:

- Identification and authentication (IA): this family includes all functions related to identification and authentication of users and administrators;
- Cryptography (CR): this family includes all cryptography related functions;
- Protection and filtering (PR): this family includes all functions related to protecting user data;
- Security management (GS): this family includes all functions related to managing security policies.

#### 6.1.1 IDENTIFICATION AND AUTHENTICATION (IA)

##### SF.IA\_ROLES

The TOE maintains two roles:

- The administrator which is the TOE user while in PERSONALIZATION and WIPED states
- The local user which is the TOE user while in UNVALIDATED\_USER, VALIDATED\_USER, RESUMABLE, RESUMED\_USER and BLOCKED states.

##### SF.IA\_AUTH\_PARAMETER

The TOE shall maintain the following elements related to its user:

- The user security code;
- The corresponding failed entries counter;
- The user ephemeral security code;
- The TOE state which includes the security code validation flag and the light unlock validation flag.
- 15 PUK codes
- The current authorized PUK code

##### SF.IA\_SECURITY\_CODE

The TOE is able to authenticate the local user by a security code. This security code is composed of numeric characters and has a maximum size of 8 characters. After 3 successive failed attempts, the TOE turns into a BLOCKED state.

The administrator may fix a minimum length requirement, which is set to 4 by default.

The security code must be transmitted to the TOE using the APDU encryption mechanism.

##### SF.IA\_PUK\_CODE

The TOE is able to authenticate the local user by a PUK code. This method of authentication is only available if the TOE is in the BLOCKED state. After 10 failed attempts authentication by PUK code is disabled. The TOE must be recycled.

PUK codes are composed of 8 (random) numeric characters generated by the TOE.

After a successful authentication by PUK code further usage of this PUK code will have no effect.

The PUK code must be entered using the APDU encryption mechanism.

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-
	Security Target	54/82

### SF.IA\_EPHEMERAL\_SECURITY\_CODE

The TOE is able to authenticate the local user by an ephemeral security code. This ephemeral security code is composed of numeric characters and has a size of 8 characters. It is generated by the TOE after a successful authentication by security code or PUK code. This security code is re-generated after successive successful re-authentication with the security code or at user request.

Using a wrong ephemeral security code shall cause the TOE to erase the stored (right) ephemeral security code, which disables this authentication mechanism.

Ephemeral security code is composed of 8 (random) numeric characters generated by the TOE when the user has authenticated using his security code or a PUK code..

The ephemeral security code must be entered using the APDU encryption mechanism.

### SF.IA\_LIGHT\_AUTH

The TOE is able to implicitly authenticate the user by successfully sending a correctly formatted resume command using the APDU encryption mechanism, with a null buffer as ephemeral security code argument. Usage of the APDU encryption mechanism proves knowledge of the 128 bits APDU encryption key. After such light authentication the TOE turns into a RESUMED\_USER state which allows limited usage of the TOE.

This kind of authentication is only authorized after a successful security code authentication in case of card loss of power.

## 6.1.2 CRYPTOGRAPHY (CR)

### SF.CR\_AUTHENTICATION

The TOE is able to conduct a session key negotiation phase with another card by using a SIGMA-I like protocol. This protocol allows the negotiation of a common secret with a perfect forward secrecy property and authenticates the distant card user.

Once the distant card user has been authenticated and the session key has been generated it is transmitted to the local user under a wrapped form and erased from memory.

### SF.CR\_KEY\_DERIVATION

The TOE is able to derive a cryptographic key into several other keys using a cryptographic key derivation mechanism. Once the derivation succeeded the initial key is updated, transmitted to the local user under a wrapped form with the derived keys (in clear) and every key is erased from memory.

### SF.CR\_RSA\_ENCRYPT

The TOE is able to decrypt data using the RSA algorithm with PKCS#1.5 or PKCS#1.5-OAEP padding and 2048 bits keys.

### SF.CR\_RSA\_SIGN

The TOE is able to sign data using the RSA algorithm with 2048 bits keys and PKCS#1.5 padding without use of any digest algorithm.

### SF.CR\_AES

The TOE is able to encrypt and decrypt data using the AES algorithm using 128 or 256 bits keys.

### SF.CR\_MAC

The TOE is able to perform MAC using HMAC-SHA256 and 128 or 256 bits keys.

The TOE is able to perform MAC using AES in retail CBC MAC mode (EMAC) and 256 bits keys (2\*128).

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-
	Security Target	55/82

**SF.CR\_RNG**

The TOE is able to generate random numbers using the platform secure random generator post processed using AES with 128 bits keys.

**SF.CR\_KEY\_GENERATION**

The TOE is able to generate cryptographic keys using the following methods:

- RSA keys are generated using the built in javacard generator
- Symmetric keys are generated using the TOE random number generator

**SF.CR\_KEY\_DESTRUCTION**

The TOE destroys cryptographic keys by clearing them in memory.

**6.1.3 PROTECTION AND FILTERING (PR)**

**SF.PR\_ACCESS\_RIGHTS**

The TOE is able to perform access control for each command sent by the user. This is done by confronting the TOE state to:

- The command access rights.

Access control ensures the local user is authenticated before performing any action except:

- Get card information;
- Enter the security code;
- Card recycling;
- Card wiping;
- Initializing the APDU encryption key;
- Renew the APDU encryption IV;

The administrator is not required to be authenticated (the administrator may only use the TOE while in PERSONALIZATION state, and security is ensured by the environment in this state).

The command access rights are fixed in TOE code and may not be changed.

**SF.PR\_KEY\_ACCESS\_CONTROL**

The TOE is able to perform access control for user keys. This is done by confronting the TOE state to:

- The “extractable” and “useable in resumed” key attributes

Key identifier, key type, extractable and useable in resumed flags attributes must be set by the user at asset creation.

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-
	Security Target	56/82

**SF.PR\_RESIDUAL\_WIPE**

The TOE erases sensitive data when not needed any more (changed security code, after PUK code usage, etc.).

**SF.PR\_APDU**

The TOE offers a trusted channel where every command sent to the TOE in VALIDATED\_USER and RESUMED\_USER state can be AES encrypted and AES based MAC sealed using TOE generated keys.

Usage of this trusted channel is required for:

- initial user authentication;
- any command and TOE response in VALIDATED\_USER, or RESUMED\_USER state, except
  - response with a “distant card” order or transmission of an authentication packet;
  - get status command
  - APDU encryption keys renewal
- the “resume” command in RESUMABLE state

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-	
	Security Target		57/82

## 6.1.4 SECURITY MANAGEMENT (GS)

### SF.GS\_ADMIN

An administrator can perform the following administration operation:

- Set the minimum security code length requirements
- Set the default user security code
- Create the PUK code within the TOE and get them
- Import a recycle key
- Import the SMS wrapping key
- Import, export or generate RSA keys (within the limits of the access control policy)
- Import, export or generate symmetric keys (within the limits of the access control policy)
- Delete RSA or symmetric keys (within the limits of the access control policy)
- Change user key attributes (within the limits of the access control policy)

An administrator may not change access rights to TOE functions.

Data are imported with security attributes: in case of symmetric or RSA keys attributes are specified by the administrator during their import; other data attributes are statically set when imported.

### SF.GS\_USER

A user can perform the following administration operation:

- Change its security code
- Import, export or generate RSA keys (within the limits of the access control policy)
- Import, export or generate symmetric keys (within the limits of the access control policy)
- Delete RSA or symmetric keys (within the limits of the access control policy)
- Change user key attributes (within the limits of the access control policy)

A user may not change access rights to TOE functions.

Data are imported with security attributes: symmetric or RSA keys attributes are specified by the user during their import.

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-
	Security Target	58/82

## 6.2 TOE SECURITY ASSURANCE MEASURES

### 6.2.1 DEVELOPMENT

#### SECURITY ARCHITECTURE (ADV\_ARC)

The developer shall provide a description of the security architecture of the Target of Evaluation (TOE) at a level of detail commensurate with the description of the SFR-enforcing abstractions described in the TOE design documents, including:

- Describing the security domains maintained by the TSF consistently with the SFRs.
- Describing how the TSF initialization process is secure.
- Demonstrating that the TSF protects itself from tampering.
- Demonstrating that the TSF prevents bypass of the SFR-enforcing functionality.

This documentation will be provided as:

[CSC-SA] Cryptosmart card : security architecture

#### FUNCTIONAL SPECIFICATION (ADV\_FSP)

The developer shall provide a functional specification (FSP) that completely represents the TSF including:

- Description of the purpose and method of use for all TSFI.
- Identification and description of all parameters associated with each TSFI.
- For each Security Functional Requirement (SFR)-enforcing TSFI, description of all actions associated with each TSFI.
- For each SFR-enforcing TSFI, all direct error messages that may result from an invocation of each TSFI.
- Summary of SFR-supporting and SFR-non-interfering actions associated with each TSFI.
- Mapping of TSFIs to SFRs.

This documentation will be provided as:

[CSC-SSDD] Cryptosmart card : system/segment design document

[CSC-AP] Cryptosmart card : Authentication protocol

#### IMPLEMENTATION REPRESENTATION (ADV\_IMP)

The developer shall provide the following evidence:

- The implementation representation for the entire TSF in the form used by the development personnel, to a level of detail such that the TSF can be generated without further design decisions.
- Mapping demonstrating correspondence between the TOE design description and a sample of the implementation representation.

This documentation will be provided as:

[CSC-IMP] Cryptosmart card : Implementation

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-
	Security Target	59/82

## TOE DESIGN (ADV\_TDS)

The developer shall provide TOE design documentation that includes:

- Description of the structure of the TOE in terms of subsystems.
- Description of the TSF in terms of modules.
- Identification and description of all TSF subsystems.
- Description of interactions among all subsystems of the TSF.
- Mapping from the subsystems of the TSF to the modules of the TSF.
- Description of each SFR-enforcing module in terms of its purpose and interaction with other modules.
- Description of each SFR-enforcing module in terms of its SFR-related interfaces, return values from those interfaces and interaction with and called interfaces to other modules.
- Description of each SFR-supporting or SFR-non-interfering module in terms of its purpose and interaction with other modules.
- Mapping of all behavior described in the TOE design to the TSFIs that invoke it.

The documentation in terms of subsystem will be integrated into [CSC-SSDD].

The documentation in terms of modules will be provided as:

[CSC-ID] Cryptosmart card : Internal Design

## 6.2.2 GUIDANCE (AGD)

### PREPARATIVE PROCEDURES (AGD\_PRE)

The developer shall provide customer documentation (e.g., user manuals) covering all activities needed to transform the delivered TOE into its evaluated configuration in the environment as described in the ST, including:

- All steps necessary for secure acceptance of the delivered TOE in accordance with the developer's delivery procedures.
- All steps necessary for secure installation of the TOE and for secure preparation of the operational environment in accordance with the security objectives for the operational environment as described in the ST.

This documentation will be provided as:

[CSC-AG] Cryptosmart card : Administrator guide

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-
	Security Target	60/82

**OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES (AGD\_OPE)**

The developer shall provide customer documentation (e.g., user manuals) covering all activities needed during the operation of the TOE in its evaluated configuration, including for each user role:

- The user-accessible functions and privileges that should be controlled in a secure processing environment, including appropriate warnings;
- How to use the available interfaces provided by the TOE in a secure manner;
- Available functions and interfaces, in particular all security parameters under the control of the user, indicating secure values as appropriate;
- For each type of security-relevant event relative to the user-accessible functions which need to be performed, including changing the security characteristics of entities under the control of the TSF;
- Describe the security measures to be followed in order to fulfill the security objectives and the operational environment as described in the ST.
- All possible modes of operation of the TOE (including operation following failure or operational error), their consequences and implications for maintaining secure operations.

This documentation will be provided as:

[CSC-UG] Cryptosmart card : user guide

**6.2.3 LIFE-CYCLE SUPPORT (ALC)**

The documentation for the whole family will be provided as a single document:

[CSC-LCD] Cryptosmart card : life cycle definition

**CONFIGURATION MANAGEMENT (ALC\_CMC AND ALC\_CMS)**

The developer shall provide the following evidence:

- Evidence that the Target of Evaluation (TOE) is labeled with its unique reference.
- Evidence that references to the TOE are consistent.
- Unique identification of the configuration items.
- A CM plan that describes how the CM system is used for the development of the TOE and the procedures used to accept modified or newly-created configuration items as part of the TOE.
- Description of the method used to uniquely identify different versions of configuration items.
- Evidence that the CM system provides automated measures to allow only authorized changes to the configuration items.
- Evidence that the CM system supports production of the TOE by automated means.
- Evidence that demonstrates that all configuration items are being maintained under the CM system and that the CM system is being operated in accordance with the CM plan.
- Configuration lists identifying items maintained under configuration management, to include at a minimum: the TOE itself, the parts that comprise the TOE, the evaluation evidence required by the Security Assurance Requirements (SARs) in the ST, and the implementation representation.

**DELIVERY (ALC\_DEL)**

The developer shall provide documentation of the developer process to:

- Deliver the TOE or parts of the TOE to the consumer.
- Maintain security when distributing versions of the TOE to the consumer.

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-
	Security Target	61/82

**FLAW REMEDIATION (ALC\_FLR)**

The developer shall provide flaw remediation procedure with:

- Description of the procedures used to track all reported security flaws in each release of the TOE
- Description of the methods used to provide flaw information, corrections and guidance on corrective actions to TOE users.

Some documentation for this component will appear separately from [CSC-LCD]:

[CSC-URG] Cryptosmart card : user remediation guidance

**DEVELOPMENT SECURITY (ALC\_DVS)**

The developer shall provide development security documentation that describes all the physical, procedural, personnel, and other security measures that are necessary to protect the confidentiality and integrity of the TOE design and implementation in its development environment.

The development security documentation shall justify that the security measures provide the necessary level of protection to maintain the confidentiality and integrity of the TOE.

**LIFE-CYCLE DEFINITION (ALC\_LCD)**

The developer shall provide life-cycle definition documentation that describes the model used to develop and maintain the TOE.

**TOOLS AND TECHNIQUES (ALC\_TAT)**

The developer shall provide documentation of development tools including:

- Definition of each development tool used for implementation.
- Documentation of each development tool that defines the meaning of all statements, conventions, and directives used in the implementation and unambiguously define the meaning of all implementation-dependent options.

**6.2.4 TESTING (ATE)**

**TEST COVERAGE (ATE\_COV)**

The developer shall provide analysis of test coverage including demonstration of correspondence between tests identified in the test documentation and the TSFIs identified in the FSP showing that all TSFIs in the FSP have been tested.

**TESTING DEPTH (ATE\_DPT)**

The developer shall provide analysis of testing depth including demonstration of correspondence of tests identified in the test documentation and the TSF subsystems and SFR-enforcing modules in the TOE design showing that:

- All TSF subsystems in the TOE design have been tested.
- The SFR-enforcing modules in the TOE design have been tested.

The documentation for both ATE\_COV and ATE\_DPT will be provided as a single document:

[CSC-TCA] Cryptosmart card : test coverage analysis

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-
	Security Target	62/82

**FUNCTIONAL TESTS (ATE\_FUN)**

The developer shall provide test documentation consisting of:

- Test plan – identifies the tests to be performed, describes the scenarios for performing each test including any ordering dependencies on the results of other tests.
- Expected test results – describes the expected outputs from successful execution of the tests.
- Actual test results – actual results, which are expected to be consistent with the expected test results.

This documentation will be provided as:

- [CSC-TEST] Cryptosmart card : tests
- [CSC-TR] Cryptosmart card : test results

**6.2.5 CLASS ASE: SECURITY TARGET EVALUATION**

The documentation for the whole family will be provided as a single document:

- [CSC-ST] Cryptosmart card : security target

**CONFORMANCE CLAIMS (ASE\_CCL)**

The developer shall provide

- A conformance claim;
- A conformance claim rationale

**SECURITY PROBLEM DEFINITION (ASE\_SPD)**

The developer shall provide a security problem definition which is required to demonstrate that the security problem intended to be addressed by the TOE and its operational environment, is clearly defined

**SECURITY OBJECTIVES (ASE\_OBJ)**

Evaluation of the security objectives is required to demonstrate that the security objectives adequately and completely address the security problem definition.

The developer shall provide:

- A statement of security objectives
- A security objectives rationale

**SECURITY REQUIREMENTS (ASE\_REQ)**

The SFRs form a clear, unambiguous and well-defined description of the expected security behaviour of the TOE. The SARs form a clear, unambiguous and canonical description of the expected activities that will be undertaken to gain assurance in the TOE.

The developer shall provide:

- A statement of security requirements
- A security requirements rationale

**TOE SUMMARY SPECIFICATION (ASE\_TSS)**

Evaluation of the TOE summary specification is necessary to determine

- Whether it is adequately described how the TOE meets its SFRs and protects itself against interference, logical tampering and bypass.
- Whether the TOE summary specification is consistent with other narrative descriptions of the TOE.

The developer shall provide a TOE summary specification.

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-	
	Security Target		63/82

## 7. RATIONALES

### 7.1 SECURITY OBJECTIVES RATIONALE

	T.TOE_DATA_CORRUPTION	T.TOE_DATA_COMPROMISE	T.ABUSE_FUNC	T.PHYSICAL	T.MASQUERADE	T.KEY_DERIVE	T.INTERFACE_EAVESDROP	T.INTEG_APPLI_CODE	OSP.RGS_CRYPTO	OSP.MUTUAL_AUTHENTICATION	OSP.KEY_STORAGE	OSP.SYMMETRIC_ENCRYPTION	OSP.SYMMETRIC_INTEGRITY	OSP.RSA_PRIVATE_KEY_OPERATION	OSP.LOCAL_AUTHENTICATION	OSP.PUK_UNBLOCK	OSP.DATA_WIPE	OSP.ACCESS_CONTROL	OSP.TOE_AUDIT	A.TRUSTED_ADMIN	A.TRAINED_ADMIN	A.CONFIGURATION	A.KEY_QUALITY	A.SECURE_KEY_MANAGEMENT
O.USER_AUTHENTICATION						X									X									
O.PUK_UNBLOCK																X								
O.STRONG_SECCODE															X									
O.LIMITED_AUTH_NUMBER															X	X								
O.KEY_MANAGEMENT						X				X								X						
O.STRONG_MUTUAL_AUTHENTICATION									X															
O.PROTECT_SESSION_KEY	X	X																						
O.APDU_ENCRYPTION	X	X			X		X																	
O.CRYPTOGRAPHIC_OPERATION							X		X	X	X	X			X									
O.FUNCTION_ACCESS_CONTROL	X	X	X		X					X								X						
O.WIPE															X	X								
O.SENSITIVE_ERASING		X																						
O.SECURE_COMPARE (platform)		X																						
O.SCP.IC (platform)	X	X		X						X														
O.CARD_MANAGEMENT (platform)							X																	
O.LOAD (platform)							X																	
OE.ADMIN	X	X																		X	X			
OE.CARD_ADMIN_STATIION	X								X													X		
OE.KEY_GENERATOR						X																X		
OE.SECURE_APPLET_MANAGEMENT							X																	
OE.SECURE_PERSONALIZATION	X	X	X																					X
OE.SECURE_KEY_MANAGEMENT	X	X																						
OE.SECURE_SECCODE_ENTRY		X																						
OE.NON_TRIVIAL_SECCODE		X																						
OE.HOST_CORRECT_BEHAVIOR		X					X		X															
OE.HOST_AUDIT																		X						

Table 5: Tracing between security objectives and security problem definition

## T.TOE\_DATA\_CORRUPTION

This threat is covered by the following security objectives:

- O.FUNCTION\_ACCESS\_CONTROL: ensures write access to assets is controlled by the TOE. An attacker may not directly modify them as he must be authenticated to get access to TOE controlled assets;
- O.PROTECT\_SESSION\_KEY: ensures that modifications on outputted session keys will be detected;
- O.APDU\_ENCRYPTION: ensures that an attacker may not alter asset while imported in the TOE by the user;
- The security objective of the platform O.SCP.IC: ensures an attacker may not modify assets by physical means;
- OE.SECURE\_PERSONALIZATION: ensures the personalization environment is secure. An attacker may not alter asset while imported in the TOE by the admin;
- OE.SECURE\_KEY\_MANAGEMENT: ensures the key are managed in a secure way while out of TOE scope. An attacker may not alter asset while transmitted from key generator to admin; or alter their stored value;
- OE.ADMIN: ensure that the attacker may not be the admin, nor can he corrupt the admin to alter assets;
- OE.CARD\_ADMIN\_STATION: ensures that the assets injected in TOE are consistent (the private key and certificate are correctly related).

## T.TOE\_DATA\_COMPROMISE

This threat is covered by the following security objectives:

- O.FUNCTION\_ACCESS\_CONTROL: ensures read access to assets is controlled by the TOE. An attacker may not directly get them as he must be authenticated to get access to TOE controlled assets;
- O.PROTECT\_SESSION\_KEY: ensures outputted session keys are protected from disclosure;
- O.APDU\_ENCRYPTION: ensures that an attacker may not eavesdrop assets while imported in the TOE by the user;
- The security objective of the platform O.SCP.IC: ensures an attacker may not have information on assets by physical means;
- OE.SECURE\_PERSONALIZATION: ensures the personalization environment is secure. An attacker may not have knowledge of asset while imported in the TOE by the admin;
- OE.SECURE\_KEY\_MANAGEMENT: ensures the key are managed in a secure way while out of TOE scope. An attacker may not have knowledge of assets while transmitted from key generator to admin; or while stored for backup;
- OE.ADMIN: ensure that the attacker may not be the admin, nor can he corrupt the admin to get assets;
- The security objective of the platform O.SECURE\_COMPARE: ensures no leak exist while comparing data to stored key. This mainly applies for ephemeral code verification;
- OE.SECURE\_SECCODE\_ENTRY: ensures that the user security code is not compromised by an attacker while entered;
- OE.HOST\_CORRECT\_BEHAVIOR: ensures that the APDU encryption initialization key is trusted. When changed the user is informed and is in measure to refuse to enter his security code if he did not change his cryptosmart card.
- OE.NON\_TRIVIAL\_SECCODE: ensures that the user security code is not guessable by an attacker

## T.ABUSE\_FUNC

This threat is covered by the following security objectives:

- O.FUNCTION\_ACCESS\_CONTROL: ensures that access to TOE function is controlled by the TOE. Functions for personalization may not be abused as they are only accessible in PERSONALIZATION state, and OE.SECURE\_PERSONALIZATION ensures the attacker may not use the TOE.

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-
	Security Target	65/82

## T.PHYSICAL

This threat is covered by the following security objectives:

- The security objective of the platform O.SCP.IC from [IOC7 - ST], which covers the threat T.PHYSICAL from [IOC7 - ST].

## T.MASQUERADE

This threat is covered by the following security objectives:

- O.USER\_AUTHENTICATION which states that authorized data sources must be authenticated preventing than attacker to impersonate a legitimate user;
- O.APDU\_ENCRYPTION which links every command to a previous successful authentication. An attacker may not send commands to the TOE by getting control of the communication link after the user has authenticated to the TOE;
- O.KEY\_MANAGEMENT and O.FUNCTION\_ACCESS\_CONTROL which define security attributes based access control. This restricts access to keys according to TOE state and key security attributes (the TOE state is related to the fact that the user is authenticated, and how he had authenticated).

## T.KEY\_DERIVE

This threat is covered by the following security objectives:

- O.CRYPTOGRAPHIC\_OPERATION and OE.KEY\_GENERATOR ensure that generated keys have sufficient entropy generated by the TOE or not. The attacker may not bruteforce them.
- O.CRYPTOGRAPHIC\_OPERATION ensures that RSA keys are generated using a cryptographically correct algorithm. This prevents the attacker to mount a mathematical attack on these keys.

## T.INTERFACE\_EAVESDROP

O.APDU\_ENCRYPTION directly covers this threat by protecting the confidentiality of data transmitted by the user to the TOE and reciprocally.

OE.HOST\_CORRECT\_BEHAVIOR covers this threat by ensuring that the APDU encryption initialization key is trusted. When changed the user is informed and is in measure to refuse to enter his security code if he did not change his cryptosmart card. This avoids the possibility of man in the middle when APDU encryption is initialized.

## T.INTEG\_APPLI\_CODE

This threat is covered by the following security objectives:

- OE.SECURE\_APPLET\_MANAGEMENT: ensures the integrity of loaded cryptosmart applet.
- The security objective of the platform O.LOAD which ensures that the loading of a package into the card cannot harm the cryptosmart applet (TOE part 2) integrity;
- The security objective of the platform O.CARD\_MANAGEMENT ensures that access to card management functions such as the installation, update or deletion of applet is controlled by the platform
- The security objective of the platform OE.VERIFICATION ensures the bytecode of packages has been verified before the loading.

## OSP.RGS\_CRYPTO

The objective O.CRYPTOGRAPHIC\_OPERATION ensures that cryptographic algorithms and key generation algorithms conform to the RGS.

## OSP.MUTUAL\_AUTHENTICATION

This OSP is covered by the following security objectives:

- O.STRONG\_MUTUAL\_AUTHENTICATION which directly cover the OSP by providing the possibility for the user to run an authentication protocol;

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-
	Security Target	66/82

- OE.HOST\_CORRECT\_BEHAVIOR which ensures that the certificate verification is performed correctly.
- OE.CARD\_ADMIN\_STATION which ensures that assets injected in TOE are consistent (private key and certificate): users are authenticated using the certificate related to the private key used in protocol. (this only allows the protocol to successfully run. Otherwise it would always fail at certificate or signature verification)

#### OSP.KEY\_STORAGE

This OSP is covered by the following security objectives:

- O.KEY\_MANAGEMENT and O.FUNCTION\_ACCESS\_CONTROL which enable a strong security attributes based access control
- The security objective of the platform O.SCP.IC which provides physical protection to stored keys

#### OSP.SYMMETRIC\_ENCRYPTION

The objective O.CRYPTOGRAPHIC\_OPERATION directly covers this OSP.

#### OSP.SYMMETRIC\_INTEGRITY

The objective O.CRYPTOGRAPHIC\_OPERATION directly covers this OSP.

#### OSP.RSA\_PRIVATE\_KEY\_OPERATION

The objective O.CRYPTOGRAPHIC\_OPERATION directly covers this OSP.

#### OSP.LOCAL\_AUTHENTICATION

The objective O.USER\_AUTHENTICATION directly answers to the first part of the OSP (the user must be authenticated before performing sensitive action)

The objective O.STRONG\_SECCODE ensures an attacker may not guess the security code, allowing to falsely authenticating to the TOE

The objective O.LIMITED\_AUTH\_NUMBER directly answers to the second part of the OSP concerning authentication error handling.

#### OSP.PUK\_UNBLOCK

The objective O.PUK\_UNBLOCK provides the ability to unblock the TOE

The objective O.CRYPTOGRAPHIC\_OPERATION ensures an attacker may not guess PUK code as they are TOE generated

The objectives O.LIMITED\_AUTH\_NUMBER and O.WIPE directly answer to the second part of the OSP concerning PUK authentication error handling.

#### OSP.DATA\_WIPE

The objective O. WIPE directly covers this OSP.

#### OSP.ACCESS\_CONTROL

The objective O.FUNCTION\_ACCESS\_CONTROL covers this OSP by providing function access control.

The objective O.KEY\_MANAGEMENT strengthens the coverage of this OSP for user keys by providing a second level of access control for user keys.

#### A.TRUSTED\_ADMIN

This assumption is directly covered by the objective on the environment OE.ADMIN

#### A.TRAINED\_ADMIN

This assumption is directly covered by the objective on the environment OE.ADMIN

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-
	Security Target	67/82

**A.CONFIGURATION**

This assumption is covered by OE.CARD\_ADMIN\_STATION which ensures that assets injected in TOE are consistent.

**A.KEY\_QUALITY**

This assumption is directly covered by the objective on the environment OE.KEY\_GENERATOR

**A.SECURE\_KEY\_MANAGEMENT**

This assumption is directly covered by the objective on the environment OE.SECURE\_KEY\_MANAGEMENT

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-	
	Security Target		68/82

## 7.2 SECURITY REQUIREMENTS RATIONALE

### 7.2.1 STUDY OF DEPENDENCIES

The following table summarizes the dependencies of the security requirement components and justifies their satisfaction or non-satisfaction.

Component	Dependencies	Satisfaction
FIA_ATD.1	No dependencies.	
FIA_UAU.1	FIA_UID.1	Not fulfilled (justified)
FIA_UAU.5	No dependencies.	
FIA_UAU.4	No dependencies.	
FIA_SOS.1	No dependencies.	
FIA_SOS.2	No dependencies.	
FIA_AFL.1	FIA_UAU.1 <sup>2</sup>	FIA_UAU.1
FDP_ACC.2/access	FDP_ACF.1	FDP_ACF.1/access
FDP_ACF.1/access	FDP_ACC.1	FDP_ACC.2/access
	FMT_MSA.3	FMT_MSA.3/access
FMT_MSA.3/access	FMT_MSA.1	FMT_MSA.1/access
	FMT_SMR.1	FMT_SMR.1
FMT_MSA.1/access	FDP_ACC.1 or FDP_IFC.1	FDP_ACC.2/access
	FMT_SMR.1	FMT_SMR.1
	FMT_SMF.1	FMT_SMF.1
FCS_COP.1	FDP_ITC.1 or FDP_ITC.2 or FCS_CKM.1	FCS_CKM.1 FDP_ITC.1/systemkey
	FCS_CKM.4	FCS_CKM.4
FCS_CKM.1	FCS_CKM.2 or FCS_COP.1	FCS_COP.1
	FCS_CKM.4	FCS_CKM.4
FCS_CKM.4	FDP_ITC.1 or FDP_ITC.2 or FCS_CKM.1	FDP_ITC.1/systemkey FCS_CKM.1
FDP_ACC.2/keys	FDP_ACF.1	FDP_ACF.1/keys
FDP_ACF.1/keys	FDP_ACC.1	FDP_ACC.2/keys
	FMT_MSA.3	Not fulfilled (justified)
FDP_ETC.1/keys	FDP_ACC.1 or FDP_IFC.1	FDP_ACC.2/keys
FDP_ITC.2/keys	FDP_ACC.1 or FDP_IFC.1	FDP_ACC.2/keys
	FTP_ITC.1 or FTP_TRP.1	FTP_TRP.1

<sup>2</sup> This SFR satisfies the dependency requirement for each FIA\_AFL.1 instantiation (FIA\_AFL.1/userSC, FIA\_AFL.1/ephSC and FIA\_AFL.1/PUK)

	FPT_TDC.1	FPT_TDC.1
<b>FMT_MSA.1/keys</b>	FDP_ACC.1 or FDP_IFC.1	FDP_ACC.2/keys
	FMT_SMR.1	FMT_SMR.1
	FMT_SMF.1	FMT_SMF.1
<b>FMT_MSA.2/keys</b>	FDP_ACC.1 or FDP_IFC.1	FDP_ACC.2/keys
	FMT_MSA.1	FMT_MSA.1/keys
	FMT_SMR.1	FMT_SMR.1
<b>FPT_TDC.1</b>	No dependencies	
<b>FDP_ITC.1/systemkey</b>	FDP_ACC.1 or FDP_IFC.1	FDP_ACC.2/access
	FMT_MSA.3	Not fulfilled (justified)
<b>FPT_TRP.1</b>	No dependencies.	
<b>FDP_RIP.1</b>	No dependencies.	
<b>FMT_SMR.1</b>	FIA_UID.1.	FIA_UAU.1
<b>FMT_SMF.1</b>	No dependencies.	

**UNRESOLVED DEPENDENCIES JUSTIFICATION**

**FIA\_UAU.1 ← FIA\_UID.1:** the TOE is single user, user authentication provides identification. Therefore the FIA\_UID dependency does not need to be fulfilled

**FMT\_SMR.1 ← FIA\_UID.1:** the TOE is single user, user authentication provides identification. Therefore the FIA\_UID dependency is replaced by **FIA\_UAU.1**

**FDP\_ACF.1/keys ← FMT\_MSA.3:** access control to operation involving keys is based on key attributes. These attribute are not set statically but are set and managed by the user (**FDP\_ITC.2/keys** and **FMT\_MSA.1/keys**). Nevertheless only secure attribute may be set for keys with identifier 0 and 1 or when changing the initial attributes of a key (**FMT\_MSA.2/keys**).

**FDP\_ITC.1/systemkey ← FMT\_MSA.3:** the TOE does not define security attribute for wrapping keys. Their usage is fully controlled by SFP\_OPERATION\_ACCESS\_CONTROL which provides access control on the functions involving the wrapping key

**7.2.2 SECURITY REQUIREMENTS / OBJECTIVES CONSISTENCY MATRIX**

	O.USER_AUTHENTICATION	O.PUK_UNBLOCK	O.STRONG_SECCODE	O.LIMITED_AUTH_NUMBER	O.FUNCTION_ACCESS_CONTROL	O.CRYPTOGRAPHIC_OPERATION	O.STRONG_MUTUAL_AUTHENTICATION	O.KEY_MANAGEMENT	O.PROTECT_SESSION_KEY	O.APDU_ENCRYPTION	O.SENSITIVE_MEMORY_ERASING	O.WIPE
FIA_ATD.1	X	X										
FIA_UAU.1	X											
FIA_UAU.5	X	X										
FIA_UAU.4		X										
FIA_SOS.1			X									
FIA_SOS.2			X									
FIA_AFL.1				X								
FDP_ACC.2/access					X							
FDP_ACF.1/access					X							
FMT_MSA.3/access					X							
FMT_MSA.1/access					X							
FCS_COP.1						X	X		X			
FCS_CKM.1								X				
FCS_CKM.4								X			X	X
FDP_ACC.2/keys								X				
FDP_ACF.1/keys								X				
FMT_MSA.1/keys								X				
FMT_MSA.2/keys								X				
<b>FPT_TDC.1</b>								X				
FDP_ETC.1/keys								X				
FDP_ITC.2/keys								X				
FDP_ITC.1.1/systemkey									X			
FTP_TRP.1	X									X		
FDP_RIP.1											X	X
FMT_SMR.1			X		X			X				
FMT_SMF.1			X		X			X				

**7.2.3 RATIONALE**

O.USER\_AUTHENTICATION is covered by:

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-
	Security Target	71/82

- FIA\_ATD.1 which defines the authentication attributes for the local user (security code, ephemeral security code and validation flag and counter);
- FIA\_UAU.1 which defines the commands an unauthenticated user may perform;
- FIA\_UAU.5 which defines the different ways to authenticate an user :
  - Through its security code;
  - Through an ephemeral security code if the TOE is in a RESUMABLE state;
  - Through a PUK code if the TOE is in a BLOCKED state;
  - Implicitly by ability to use the host-card trusted path if the TOE is in a RESUMABLE state.
- FTP\_TRP.1 which defines a trusted path between the TOE and the local user. Successful usage of this trusted channel in RESUMABLE state implicitly authenticates the user by proof of knowledge of the protection keys.

O.PUK\_UNBLOCK is covered by:

- FIA\_ATD.1 which defines the authentication attributes for the local user, in particular the TOE state;
- FIA\_UAU.5 which defines how to unblock the TOE: the user is authenticated by using PUK code, which turns the TOE from BLOCKED to VALIDATED\_USER state;
- FIA\_UAU.4 which ensures each PUK code may only be used once.

O.STRONG\_SECCODE is covered by:

- FIA\_SOS.1 which ensures the user security code has the required length property;
- FIA\_SOS.2 which ensures that PUK codes and ephemeral code are correctly generated by the TOE
- FMT\_SMF.1 which allows the administrator to set a minimum security code length
- Correct management of security features (FMT\_SMR.1 and FMT\_SMF.1)

O.LIMITED\_AUTH\_NUMBER is covered by:

- FIA\_AFL.1 which defines the maximum possible failures for each authentication method and the TOE behavior when this maximum tries has been reached.

O.FUNCTION\_ACCESS\_CONTROL is covered by:

- The SFP\_OPERATION\_ACCESS\_CONTROL access control SFP (FDP\_ACC.2/access and FDP\_ACF.1/access) which ensure every command passed to the TOE follows the access control policy;
- FMT\_MSA.3/access which sets the default values for each command access right;
- FMT\_MSA.1/access which ensures no one can change access rights;
- Correct management of security features (FMT\_SMR.1 and FMT\_SMF.1)

O.CRYPTOGRAPHIC\_OPERATION is covered by:

- FCS\_COP.1 which defines the cryptographic algorithms the TOE shall support;

O.STRONG\_MUTUAL\_AUTHENTICATION is covered by:

- FCS\_COP.1.1/random
- FCS\_COP.1.1/DH
- FCS\_COP.1.1/RSA\_sig
- FCS\_COP.1.1/HMAC
- FCS\_COP.1.1/AES
- FCS\_COP.1.1/EMAC

The mutual authentication is performed using the cryptosmart authentication protocol. This protocol is based on the listed SFR.

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-
	Security Target	72/82

O.KEY\_MANAGEMENT is covered by:

- FCS\_CKM.1 which ensures user keys can be correctly generated by the TOE;
- FDP\_ITC.2/keys which ensures user keys may be imported to the TOE;
- FCS\_CKM.4 which ensure secure keys destruction of user keys;
- The SFP\_KEY\_ACCESS\_CONTROL ensures the security of key management, in particular:
  - FDP\_ACC.2/keys and FDP\_ACF.1/keys defines the access control to user keys;
  - FMT\_MSA.1/keys and FMT\_MSA.2/keys focus on security attribute management by users
  - FDP\_ETC.1/keys defining conditions under which keys may be exported from the TOE;
  - FDP\_ITC.2/keys defining conditions under which keys may be imported inside the TOE;
- FPT\_TDC.1 which ensures imported security attribute are correctly interpreted
- Correct management of security features (FMT\_SMR.1 and FMT\_SMF.1)

O.PROTECT\_SESSION\_KEY is covered by:

- FCS\_COP.1.1/AES and FCS\_COP.1.1/EMAC which ensure correct protection of session keys when stored outside the TOE;
- FDP\_ITC.1.1/systemkey supports FCS\_COP.1.1 by defining how wrapping which protect the session keys may be imported inside the TOE.

O.APDU\_ENCRYPTION is covered by:

- FTP\_TRP.1 which ensure the usage of a trusted path, protected both in confidentiality and integrity, for sending command to the TOE and getting its responses.

O.SENSITIVE\_MEMORY\_ERASING and O.WIPE are both covered by:

- FDP\_RIP.1 which ensures non keys sensitive data are erased when no more needed;
- FCS\_CKM.4 which ensure the correct destruction of keys.

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-	
	Security Target		73/82

## 7.2.4 SAR RATIONAL

The aimed assurance level for this security target is EAL4 augmented with ALC\_DVS.2 and AVA\_VAN.5.

This level has been chosen to be as close as possible the French “qualification renforcée” package. (which also includes ADV\_IMP.2 and ALC\_FLR.3 which can't be claimed as these component are not met by the platform.

The ALC\_DVS.2 component has no dependencies.

The AVA\_VAN.5 component has for dependencies:

- ADV\_ARC.1 Security architecture description
- ADV\_FSP.4 Complete functional specification
- ADV\_TDS.3 Basic modular design
- ADV\_IMP.1 Implementation representation of the TSF
- AGD\_OPE.1 Operational user guidance
- AGD\_PRE.1 Preparative procedures
- ATE\_DPT.1 Testing: basic design

Which are all included in the EAL4 package. Therefore every requirement for the chosen SAR is fulfilled.

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-	
	Security Target		74/82

### 7.3 GLOBAL TOE SPECIFICATION RATIONALE

#### 7.3.1 MAPPING TOE SFRS TO TOE SECURITY FUNCTIONS

	SF.IA_roles	SF.IA_auth_parameter	SF.IA_security_code	SF.IA_PUK_code	SF.IA_ephemeral_security_code	SF.IA_light_auth	SF.CR_authentication	SF.CR_key_derivation	SF.CR_RSA_encrypt	SF.CR_RSA_sign	SF.CR_AES	SF.CR_MAC	SF.CR_key_generation	SF.CR_rng	SF.CR_key_destruction	SF.PR_access_rights	SF.PR_Key_access_control	SF.PR_Residual_wipe	SF.PR_apdu	SF.GS_admin	SF.GS_user
FIA_ATD.1		X																			
FIA_UAU.1																X					
FIA_UAU.5			X	X	X	X															
FIA_UAU.4				X																	
FIA_SOS.1			X																		
FIA_SOS.2				X	X								X								
FIA_AFL.1			X	X	X																
FDP_ACC.2/access																X					
FDP_ACF.1/access																X					
FMT_MSA.3/access																X					
FMT_MSA.1/access																X					
FCS_COP.1							X	X	X	X	X	X		X							
FCS_CKM.1													X								
FCS_CKM.4															X						
FDP_ACC.2/keys																	X				
FDP_ACF.1/keys																	X				
FDP_ETC.1/keys																				X	X
FDP_ITC.2/keys																				X	X
FPT_TDC.1																				X	X
FMT_MSA.1/keys																				X	X
FMT_MSA.2/keys																				X	X
FDP_ITC.1.1/systemkey																				X	
FTP_TRP.1																			X		
FDP_RIP.1																		X			
FMT_SMR.1	X																				
FMT_SMF.1																				X	X

### 7.3.2 RATIONALE

This section describes how the TOE security functions described in TOE summary specification meet each SFR.

**FIA\_ATD.1 (User attribute definition)** describes the list of attributes belonging to users. This component is met by SF.IA\_AUTH\_PARAMETER which defines the user related elements maintained by the TOE.

**FIA\_UAU.1 (Timing of authentication)** describes the functions the TOE may perform without having the user authenticated. This component is met by SF.PR\_ACCESS\_RIGHTS which defines these actions.

**FIA\_UAU.5 (Multiple authentication mechanisms)** describes the different available authentication types. This component is met by SF.IA\_SECURITY\_CODE SF.IA\_PUK\_CODE SF.IA\_EPHEMERAL\_SECURITY\_CODE SF.IA\_LIGHT\_AUTH which each defines an individual authentication type supported by the TOE.

**FIA\_SOS.1 (Verification of secrets)** requires a mechanism to verify secrets length. This component is met by SF.IA\_SECURITY\_CODE which defines the required secret length.

**FIA\_SOS.2 (Generation of secrets)** describes the methods the TOE shall use to generate secrets and the authentication method which require generated secrets usage. This component is met by SF.IA\_PUK\_CODE and SF.IA\_EPHEMERAL\_SECURITY\_CODE which specify that they must use TOE generated secrets and SF.CR\_RNG which defines the generation method of secrets.

**FIA\_AFL.1 (Authentication failure handling)** describes how the TOE shall react on authentication failure. The authentication mechanism descriptions SF.IA\_SECURITY\_CODE SF.IA\_PUK\_CODE SF.IA\_EPHEMERAL\_SECURITY\_CODE each describe how to handle authentication failure.

The **FDP\_ACC.2/access (Complete access control)** and **FDP\_ACF.2/access (Security attributes based access control)** components are met by SF.PR\_ACCESS RIGHTS which defines the access control method to sensitive assets.

**FMT\_MSA.3/access (Static attributes initialization)** defines how attributes used for FDP\_ACF.2/access are initialized. SF.PR\_ACCESS RIGHTS defines this initialization.

**FMT\_MSA.1/access (Management of security attributes)** requires that attributes used for FDP\_ACF.2/access may not be changed. SF.PR\_ACCESS RIGHTS meets this requirement by explicitly stating this.

**FCS\_COP.1 (Cryptographic operation)** defines how the TOE shall perform cryptographic operation. This component is instantiated for:

- Diffie-Hellman operation
- AES operation
- HMAC MAC operation
- EMAC MAC operation
- RSA signature
- RSA encryption
- Random generation.

These requirements are met respectively by:

- SF.CR\_AUTHENTICATION
- SF.CR\_AES and SF.CR\_KEY\_DERIVATION
- SF.CR\_AUTHENTICATION

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-
	Security Target	76/82

- SF.CR\_MAC and SF.CR\_KEY\_DERIVATION
- SF.CR\_RSA\_SIGN
- SF.CR\_RSA\_ENCRYPT
- SF.CR\_RNG

Which each define the cryptographic functions of the TOE.

**FCS\_CKM.1 (Cryptographic key generation)** defines how the TOE shall generate RSA and symmetric keys. This is directly met by SF.CR\_KEY\_GENERATION.

**FCS\_CKM.4 (Cryptographic key destruction):** This component is met by SF.CR\_KEY\_DESTRUCTION which describes key destruction.

The **FDP\_ACC.2/keys (Complete access control)** component is met by SF.PR\_KEY\_ACCESS\_CONTROL defines the access control method to user keys.

The **FDP\_ACF.2/keys (Security attributes based access control)** component is met by SF.PR\_KEY\_ACCESS\_CONTROL which defines the access control method to user keys.

The **FDP\_ETC.1/keys (Export of user data without security attributes)** component is met by SF.GS\_admin and SF.GS\_user which defines the management operation on user keys.

The **FDP\_ITC.2/keys (Import of user data with security attributes)** component is met by SF.GS\_admin and SF.GS\_user which defines the management operation on user keys.

The **FPT\_TDC.1 (Inter-TSF basic TSF data consistency)** component is met by SF.GS\_admin and SF.GS\_user which defines the management operation on user keys.

**FMT\_MSA.1/keys (Management of security attributes)** defines how and by whom attributes used for FDP\_ACF.2/keys may be changed. SF.GS\_ADMIN and SF.GS\_USER meet this requirement by defining management possibilities respectively for the administrator and the user.

**FMT\_MSA.2/keys (Secure security attributes)** defines the attribute management limitations. This requirement is met by SF.GS\_ADMIN and SF.GS\_USER which state these limitations.

**FDP\_ITC.1/systemkey (Import of user data without security attributes)** is met by SF.GS\_ADMIN which specifies how administrator keys are imported.

**FTP\_TRP.1 (Trusted path)** requires usage of a protected channel (in confidentiality, integrity and against replay) for passing commands to the TOE. It also defines the commands for which this channel is not necessary. This is met by SF.PR\_APDU which defines such a trusted channel.

**FDP\_RIP.1 (Residual Information Protection):** This component is met by SF.PR\_RESIDUAL\_WIPE which describes destruction of sensitive elements (which are not cryptographic keys).

**FMT\_SMR.1 (Security roles)** describes the roles of TOE users. This component is met by SF.IA\_ROLES which defines these roles.

**FMT\_SMF.1 (Specification of Management Functions):** This component is met by SF.GS\_ADMIN and SF.GS\_USER which describe the management functions the administrator or the user may perform.

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-	
	Security Target		77/82

## 8. CONSISTENCY OF COMPOSITE PRODUCT SECURITY TARGET

### 8.1 SEPARATION OF TSF

The composite product offers the full security functionality of the platform: the application is an applet loaded on the platform. The platform offers protection to the applet and its sensitive data against all relevant threat from [IOC7 - ST]. Therefore every TSF from the platform is required to counter these threats and is relevant for the composite product. No separation is necessary.

### 8.2 COMPATIBILITY OF SAR

The following table summarizes the SAR for both the composite product and the platform, showing that every composite SAR is weaker than or equal to platform SAR.

Assurance class	Assurance component	Platform Level	Composite Level
<b>Development</b>	ADV_ARC	1	1
	ADV_FSP	5	4
	ADV_IMP	1	1
	ADV_INT	2	N.A.
	ADV_TDS	4	3
<b>Guidance documents</b>	AGD_OPE	1	1
	AGD_PRE	1	1
<b>Life-cycle support</b>	ALC_CMC	4	4
	ALC_CMS	5	4
	ALC_DEL	1	1
	ALC_DVS	2	2
	ALC_LCD	1	1
	ALC_TAT	2	1
<b>Security target evaluation</b>	ASE_CCL	1	1
	ASE_ECD	1	1
	ASE_INT	1	1
	ASE_OBJ	2	2
	ASE_REQ	2	2
	ASE_SPD	1	1
	ASE_TSS	1	1
<b>Tests</b>	ATE_COV	2	2
	ATE_DPT	3	1
	ATE_FUN	1	1
	ATE_IND	2	2
<b>Vulnerability assessment</b>	AVA_VAN	5	5

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-
	Security Target	78/82

### 8.3 COMPATIBILITY OF SFR

As TSF, every platform SFR is relevant to the composite security target.

Nevertheless some application SFRs are based on platform SFR. This section aims at identifying these dependencies and verifying that operations performed by composite SFR on platform SFR are appropriate.

Composite SFR	Based on (platform SFR)	Statement of compatibility
FIA_ATD.1	None	User attributes are only related to the cryptosmart applet
FIA_UAU.1	None	Timing of authentication is only related to the cryptosmart applet
FIA_UAU.5	FIA_AFL.1/Pin	Authentication codes (security code, ephemeral code and PUK codes) are stored as OwnerPIN objects.
FIA_UAU.4	FIA_AFL.1/Pin	The cryptosmart applet stores PUK code as OwnerPin objects with maximum authentication attempts defined to 1.
FIA_SOS.1	None	
FIA_SOS.2	FCS_RNG.1/IC FCS_COP.1/AES	These secrets are generated by the TOE using the internal random generator post-processed by AES using 128 bits key.  The key size used is consistent with the platform SFR.
FIA_AFL.1	FIA_AFL.1/Pin	The applet bases security code and PUK code management on OwnerPin objects. The maximum unsuccessful authentication failure is considered reached if the OwnerPin object is blocked.
FDP_ACC.2/access	None.	The SFP_OPERATION_ACCESS_CONTROL policy is entirely managed by the cryptosmart applet.
FDP_ACF.1/access	None.	
FMT_MSA.3/access	None.	
FMT_MSA.1/access	None.	
FCS_COP.1.1/AES	FCS_COP.1/IC FCS_COP.1/AES	The applet only uses 128 and 256 bits AES keys which are consistent with the platform SFR.
FCS_COP.1.1/HMAC	FCS_COP.1/SHA	The applet performs HMAC-SHA256 based on the SHA256 defined by this SFR. HMAC mode is implemented by the applet.
FCS_COP.1.1/EMAC	FCS_COP.1/AES	The applet performs EMAC (retail cbc-mac) based on AES with 128 bits keys which is consistent with the platform SFR.
FCS_COP.1.1/RSA_sig	FCS_COP.1/RSA	The applet only uses 2048 bits RSA keys which is consistent with the platform SFR.
FCS_COP.1.1/RSA_enc	FCS_COP.1/RSA	The applet only uses 2048 bits RSA keys which is consistent with the platform SFR.

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-
	Security Target	79/82

Composite SFR	Based on (platform SFR)	Statement of compatibility
FCS_COP.1.1/random	FCS_RNG.1/IC FCS_COP.1/AES	The applet generates random number by: - Generating a random buffer using the SecureRandom javacard object - Re-encrypting it with AES with 128 bits keys; which is consistent with the platform SFR
FCS_CKM.2	FCS_CKM.2	User keys are imported then stored in javacard objects using the setKey() method.
FCS_CKM.4	FCS_CKM.4	Keys are cleared by the applet first by zeroizing the key, then clearing it using the clearKey() method.
FDP_ACC.2/keys	None.	
FDP_ACF.1/keys	None.	
FDP_ETC.1/keys	None.	
FDP_ITC.2/keys	None.	
FPT_TDC.1	None.	
FMT_MSA.1/keys	None.	
FMT_MSA.2/keys	None.	
FDP_ITC.1.1/systemkey	None.	
FTP_TRP.1	FCS_COP.1/AES	Trusted path protection is based on AES (for encryption and integrity) with 128 bits keys; which is consistent with the platform SFR
FDP_RIP.1	FDP_RIP.1.1/KEYS	Session keys are stored as javacard key objects and benefit from the FDP_RIP.1.1/KEYS SFR.
FIA_UID.1	None	
FMT_SMR.1	None	
FMT_SMF.1	None	

#### 8.4 COMPATIBILITY OF SECURITY OBJECTIVES

All the platform security objectives are relevant to the composite security target.

The security objectives of the composite security target can be divided into security objectives corresponding to:

- Platform only security objectives. (Some of them were explicitly included in this security target as they directly cover some threats considered in this security target). These are all security objectives from [IOC7-ST]
- Applet-specific security objectives based on security objectives provided by the platform;
- Applet-specific properties concerning access control of the cryptosmart applet functions and protection of the application data.

Security objective	Applet specific, based on platform	Applet specific,
--------------------	------------------------------------	------------------

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-
	Security Target	80/82

		independent from platform
O.USER_AUTHENTICATION	O.PIN-MNGT (user security code and PUK are managed as platform Pin objects) O.SECURE_COMPARE (ephemeral security code are stored as array)	
O.PUK_UNBLOCK	O.PIN-MNGT (PUK codes are managed as platform Pin objects)	
O.STRONG_SECCODE		X
O.LIMITED_AUTH_NUMBER	O.PIN-MNGT (user security code and PUK are managed as platform Pin objects which manage the failure limit)	
O.FUNCTION_ACCESS_CONTROL		X
O.CRYPTOGRAPHIC_OPERATION	O.CIPHER O.SCP.IC: cryptographic operation done by the applet use platform provided cryptographic functions.	
O.STRONG_MUTUAL_AUTHENTICATION	O.CIPHER O.SCP.IC: cryptographic operation done by the applet use platform provided cryptographic functions.	
O.KEY_MANAGEMENT	O.KEY-MNGT: user keys are managed as platform key object	
O.PROTECT_SESSION_KEY	O.CIPHER: key wrapping is performed using platform provided cryptographic functions	
O.APDU_ENCRYPTION	O.CIPHER: APDU encryption is performed using platform provided cryptographic functions	
O.SENSITIVE_MEMORY_ERASING	O.KEY-MNGT: for user keys as the platform provides a method to clear keys.	
O.WIPE	O.KEY-MNGT: for user keys as the platform provides a method to clear keys.	

## 8.5 COMPATIBILITY OF SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE ENVIRONMENT

The significant security objectives for the environment of the platform security target are not contradictory to those of the composite security target.

Platform security objectives for the environment concern applet content and loading on the smartcard. The objectives for the environment of the composite TOE include those of the platform, and objectives concerning:

- The cryptosmart applet development, generation and storage
- The environment for pre-personalization;
- The environment for personalization;
- The host behavior;
- Usage constraints.

Therefore these objectives are independent and do not contradict themselves.

## 8.6 COMPATIBILITY OF THREATS

All the platform threats are relevant to the composite security target.

The threats of the composite security target can be divided into threats corresponding to:

- The platform specific threats;
- Refinements of platform threat to applet specific assets (T.PHYSICAL, T.INTEG\_APPLI\_CODE);
- Applet specific threats.

The threats of the composite security target are not contradictory to the relevant threats of the platform security target.

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-
	Security Target	81/82

## 8.7 COMPATIBILITY OF OSP

The platform has only one OSP (OSP.VERIFICATION) concerning bytecode verification before execution on the platform.

The OSPs from this ST only apply on the composite TOE once the cryptosmart applet is loaded; and concern:

- Directives the composite TOE must follow;
- Service the composite TOE must offer;
- Security rules the composite TOE must implement.

The OSP of the composite security target are independent and not contradictory to the relevant OSPs of the platform security target.

## 8.8 COMPATIBILITY OF ASSUMPTIONS

The platform assumptions only concern applet content and loading on the smartcard. Assumptions for the composite TOE concern correct TOE administration security (reliability of the administrator, generation and management of cryptographic keys).

Those two types of assumptions are independent and are not contradictory.

Ed : 2010B/346 Ver. : 5.0.8	CryptoSmart card 5.0	July 13, 2012 Cryptosmart applet 5.0 - Security-	
	Security Target		82/82